

133, GLOUCESTER ROAD.

S. W.

31 May 86

Dear Mr Bunting,

Please excuse delay
in answering your letter
as I have been out-
of town. I wish I
could do as you
suggested, but I am
so much behind with
other work that I
dare not. Yours sincerely

John Barrie

GAGE RET2300010, COE

7/12/21

15- Old Cammish St-
W.

June 3, 90

Dear Mr Bunting,

I've thought it over & come
to the conclusion that this
not equal to the Stanley article.
I don't have a sufficiently com-
prehensive knowledge of Africa.
Yet I believe a thorough impartial
review of the expedition wd do
real good. If I had had this
courage to publish mine last
month and say what I said
before that the Congo route
was finally chosen because the
King & the Belgians insisted on it -
we would have scored. I know

now that this is admitted
in the book. I believe Mr
Stanley's statement is that
he favoured the Congo route, that
the Committee were averse to it, that
the safe way & that then the
King of the Belgians said I feared
you to go by any other route. Thus
Stanley was to lead there
was no option. But what would
the country generally have said
had it known of this?

I do to Scotland next
week and shall propose some
more literary subject for an
article from there. Many thanks
for oblige.

Yours very truly
J. M. Barrie

Strath view

Kirriemuir


Forfarshire

W.S.

15th June. 90.

Dear Mr Bunting.

Your letter was
forwarded to me. Though the
plainly book is not out save
& his friends have had the
proof sheets (& send them forth
few minutes) & his & the
business & business are to have
written in July. Of the usual
kind darkness.
I am hoping to send you something
else before long. I hope
yours very truly
J. M. Barrie



Strath - View

Kivierunni nr 3

Jan 13. 91.

Dear Mr Bunting,


I have the Stanley brochure
two-thirds done but it won't
work out as I had hoped and
I am in the state of mind of
one who has been trying to
be with you three days & can't
discuss the way. I think my
best plan is to abandon it &
try to do something better for March
month. That ~~and~~ ^{will} give me
an opportunity of seeing you first.
As I am coming back
to London in the end of this
month, and have some things
in my head which I like

and think you might like
too. They wd need more elaborate
treatment than they could get
if done this much in a
hurry.

I see that my telegram &
letter were both sent to
11 New Square, but I suppose
you got them.

Yours very truly

J. M. Barrie




15- Old Camendish Street
Camendish Square W
May 6.

Dear Bunting 1891

I have ~~you~~
learned I will do something
for the C. & I. next
month, early in next.

Yours by hp

J. M. Barrie



15th old Camendish Street


Camendish Square
W.

6.2.81.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I enclose a paper
on Kipling. I have got
back L. from you, L. this
address.

Yours very truly
J. M. Barrie




15- old Camendish Street
Camendish Square
W.

12 . 2. 81 .

Dear Mr Bunting

I suppose I need not
ask whether this about poor
Hazel is true. It is very sad,
his sad little birth about. I never
knew a man I thought more
of than by his

J. W. Barrie



LEINSTER CORNER,

LANCASTER GATE, W.

21 Mar 1808

1908?

Dear Mr Bunting.

Many thanks

for your letter -

and its kind references

to those old days. which

I shall always remember

with pleasure - and with

gratitude too. If I

have an idea for

anything which

concerns me I shall send

to word & d. ce-
present. I have fallen
behind with some other
walk.

Yours Sincerely
J. M. Barrie

Strathview.

Lunenburg N.S.

March 19-

1895

Dear Sir.

My husband Mr. J. A. B. B. B.
has influenza just now.

and so is unable to answer

your letter. In these circumstances

kindly excuse him not sending

anything on the long right


question, which he would

have otherwise done

done with pleasure.
I know the intensity of your
interest in Hall Cairns
brought to the subject.

Yours very truly
Mary Harris

Percy W. Bunting Esq



Bridge House, Dorchester,
Wallingford.

Sept. 27th, 1884.

My dear Sir,

Many thanks for your
kind letter with the cheque enclosed.

My article appeared in very good
company, I think; and as your
September number was much
praised in the newspapers, my contri-
bution also came in for a share.

The Spectator I am told was very
complimentary, and I have seen some
other friendly criticisms, including
that of the Catholic journals which
gave me long reviews. I should be
glad to see an answer from the
opposite camp; but they much prefer

to assume that Science is unbelieving
and that a Christian cannot know
^{anything} ~~much~~ about it.

I wonder whether, in the course
of a month or so, I might submit
for your acceptance a paper on
Amiel's Journal Intime, which
has excited peculiar attention abroad
and is really a remarkable and
even extraordinary book. A very short
account of it was given in the Con-
temporary by M. Gabriel Monod, who
writes your brilliant reviews of French
literature. I am much in that
line myself, and have probably
read more of the modern French
authors than it is at all usual
in England. The gist of M. Amiel's

problem is the relation of Religion to
the Churches and positive dogma;
a subject which he treats, in a
kind of religious diary, with astonish-
ing freshness, power, and poetic geni-
us, like a new Overmann. There
is nothing conventional in his book;
I think there would not be an excess
of commonplace in my article. It
has been in the making now nearly
a year.

Believe me,

Very faithfully yours.

William Barry.

