

*this came in  
as you went out*

12, THE CRESCENT,  
OXFORD.

Oct. 7. 1890

My dear Sir

In accordance with the request contained in your telegram of this morning I enclose my Church Congress paper. The question of its suitability for the 'Contemporary Review' is one on which I cannot trust my own judgment.

Yours very truly

D. S. Margoliouth

EXCELSIOR BOOK CO.

© 1968

Box Hill, Dorking,  
June 13<sup>th</sup> 1867.

Dear Sir,

I thank you  
for the compliment  
of your invitation  
to me to write for  
the paper of the  
Contemporary. I  
regret that my  
present state of

health does not  
admit of my doing  
work in excess of  
the task to which  
I am harnessed. My  
sole variation -  
as deviation - is  
now to them a  
matter of verse,  
& I have reason  
to know that

my verse is even  
less to the taste of  
the public than  
my prose. I have  
therefore for the  
moment nothing  
I can offer you.

Yours truly  
George Smith  
Perry W. Bunting Esq

Box A.N., Dorking,  
December 21<sup>st</sup> 1859.

Dear Sir,

I have already  
declined a proposal  
from another quarter,  
I am compelled to  
take shelter under  
the same shade, from  
the temptation you  
offer. My work in  
hand has hold of me.

and besides I don't  
not like to write  
criticism on running  
until feeling a less  
in the ascendancy with  
me.

Ryder I  
will be an applicant  
to you for a place  
in your columns. —  
Do you want to  
print verse? I

know I have seen  
it in the Contemporary.  
But let me say  
that whatever MS.  
I submit to an  
Editor, I would  
not have him  
publish it without  
his hearty approval  
of the matter,  
without question  
of the author's name.

I thank you  
for the compliment  
of your proposal  
I am

Very truly yours  
Grovesmith.

Pray W. Bunting Esq



Madras Christian College

18th August 1887

Dear Sir

You will hear about  
this time from Mr  
Patterson that I already  
intended to offer you an  
article in reply to Mr.  
Jowissons "cheaps  
superiorities." I duly  
received your telegram  
asking for an article:  
but as Mr Patterson  
let me know inform  
you of my intentions,  
I did not think

it necessary to reply.  
Today I find my m.s. —  
& the office of the Review.  
I hope it will meet your  
wishes and that it  
may see the light.  
It is much to be  
desired that Indian Tribes  
— not mischievous only — were  
better understood at home.  
Mr Townsend is in many  
ways capital always; ~~but~~  
but the changes in the  
sherty fears since he knew  
Calumet have been  
very great — for good in  
some ways & evil in  
others. —

Yours sincerely,

William Miller

P.W. Bunker 229

M



University of Aberdeen.

15 Dec. 1884

Dear Sir

May I ask whether, were I to prepare an article on Loyalty with which you might be satisfied, you would consider it suitable for the *Contemporary*? I should probably let the facts of his life alone as a good deal of attention has been lately called to them. But, in studying the subject, it seems to me that I am able to cast new light on the principles and bearings

aims of the man and of his  
work.

Supposing you to be satisfied  
with the contents of the article  
will you admit it?

I may remind you that  
a good many years ago  
I contributed pretty largely  
to the *Contemporary*, and  
that several at least of  
my papers met with much  
acceptance. Yrs truly  
W<sup>m</sup> Milligan  
Prof. of Div. & Hist. Brit.

**M** The University, Aberdeen  
Dec 22 1884

Dear Sir

Would you care to have an article from me for the February number of the Contemporary, on the forthcoming life of George Eliot? The work will not be published till the middle of January, but I have been offered an advance copy by the publishers, and could, if you desire it, let you have an article in time for the February number. You may possibly have seen my name as an occasional contributor to the magazines, and on the chance that you may know something about my work I write without any other introduction. I understand from the Messrs Blackwood that

the Nineteenth Century and the  
Fifties are already spoken.

With an apology for troubling  
you, in case you have made the  
arrangements, or do not think  
me a suitable contributor on the  
subject, I am

Yours faithfully

W. Minto

TELEGRAMS  
STURRY.

M

20.3.08

STURRY COURT,  
STURRY,  
KENT.

Dear Mr Bunting

Not hearing from  
me in reply to your  
letter of Feb 28<sup>th</sup>, you  
will probably have  
guessed the cause - I

have been away for  
6 or 7 weeks in  
Egypt & the Sudan  
& have only just

STANCO, YANKEE  
PARIS  
STORY

returned.

I don't whether  
I should have been  
at all, in my case, to  
review "Lord Cromer";  
but in the other  
obligatory "Art

I am much ob-  
liged by you

and offer a very  
sorry that it  
did not receive  
an earlier answer

from very truly  
Milner

M 6 May 1807

71 Seymour Street,  
Hyde Park, W.

My dear Mr Bunting

I will send you a few  
lines to congratulate you on the  
May No. of the Contempary.  
It seems to me very far  
superior to the May  
number of its rivals. I  
have been very much pleased  
& instructed by Mr Thaw's  
article & read it with  
pleasure. Prof Freeman's  
One & Modern Soldier's  
Apology has particularly  
slighted me. I wish it  
could be reprinted & circulated  
widely for the sake of the

March 15  
1848

Friend. I hope you will  
excuse my thus troubling  
you but I always feel  
a great interest in the  
Contemporary Review  
with which I have such  
old associations & my  
letter has at least the  
merit of not requiring  
any answer.

Kelvin  
shows us with

S. P. Ward

M 2 May 1887

71 Seymour Street,  
Hyde Park, W.

Dear Mr Bunting

After all, Iie said in  
Maur Van ~~both~~<sup>the</sup> & after one  
that I received my "Mo.  
Culverpage" Review with  
particular satisfaction.

I now send, for your  
perusal, a paper which I venture  
& think expresses views you  
will do like to help forward.

Evolutionists of the Darwinian  
system school must do away  
objectively our perception of  
beauty. They appeal to & are  
legally supported by the changes  
observed in of popular taste  
in S. West place of different  
times. We seem with  
a company of paper & other

Evolution, according to what  
I believe to be the only rational  
conception of it, fully accounts  
for all these local differences  
& degrees of taste without  
in the least contradicting the  
objective nature of beauty  
which may then be shown to  
take its place beside other  
other real qualities of real  
things - Truth & goodness.

I am in a hurry for  
the publication of the paper  
which I should like to feel  
that I might count of seeing  
it out - in about six  
months, I believe

Very truly yours  
S. F. Norton  
To Percy W. Harting Esq. 11 Old Square.

M

Park Cottage Leigh. Tunbridge,  
April 11<sup>th</sup> 1883

My dear Dr Bunting

I am extremely gratified  
with the interest you express  
on behalf of the Bechuanas  
so deeply afflicted by their  
atrocities perpetrated on them  
by the Transvaal Boers. Of  
course I cannot feel otherwise  
but distressed in spirit for  
that people among whom I  
labored for the greater part of

a long life now drawing to a close. I really feel too old to enter into any discussion on the important subject; nor is it required that I do so.  
13 years since Company became the <sup>the</sup> ~~country~~ <sup>part</sup> of the state of affairs in Bechuanaland has been so thoroughly ventilated that no one need plead ignorance. For example speeches & letters of <sup>Ex</sup> Sir Bartholomew Mr Foster, the pamphlet of Revd J Mackenzie which is before the publick, tell a tale that can be relied on, and what gives it additional weight W<sup>m</sup> M has just come from those scenes of conflict who has a right to give a clearer & decidedly more colored view

of the brutally aggressive attacks on the Bechuanas, amply supplied with the means of destruction, of which the latter are deprived. Could we expect anything else than murder & bloodshed. It is not to look to Drforssen or to Dr Clark to obtain an impartial testimony. The latter on the <sup>"King"</sup> of the 29th March who evidently wrote in a mist or unfortunately trusted to contrast wretched sources of information.

This is all I need say except that it appears to me that our government have made a sad muddle of the whole affair of the Transvaal & now they leave

the innocent natives to whom they once promised protection to be exterminated by the Transvaal Boers.

I can only pray & commit their cause to the judge of all the earth, who will do right.

Again thanking you for your expression of sympathy believe me to be your  
most sincerely

Robert Moffat

85, LEXHAM GARDENS,  
KENSINGTON, W.

February 18  
1886

Dear Mr. Banting

I had sent the  
proofs back before I got  
your note in which you  
asked me if I could make  
~~any update~~  
~~&~~ down to the exact 12

pages - I have now got  
the revised proofs - I w<sup>d</sup>  
try to eat it down if you  
very much wish it, but  
I don't see any thing I shd  
like to eat out. It struck  
me that the first page

83 FENHAM GARDENS  
KENSINGTON W.

might begin a little higher up - I mean that the letter press might begin a little higher up on it - & has got all into the 12 pages? I am not returning the revised proofs. There is nothing wrong except that Kerguelen is spelt Ker-guelen. & it really does not matter -

I see a suggestion on the 2<sup>nd</sup> page that I should

say "I propose" instead of "I propose" - don't you think the latter quite as correct?

I hope you will be able to come to see me again some day. Saturday I am generally at home - Mrs Bishys was very pleased to have met you - with our kind regards -

Yours very sincerely  
Louisa Miles worth

*W.W.B.*  
85, LEXHAM GARDENS,  
KENSINGTON, W.

January 28

Dear Mr. Bunting

My "W. Ewing" is nearly ready. I will send it to you next week - It does not seem much to look at - but I have worked pretty hard at it - You know what reading up about anything is - I have adhored as closely as possible to your directions, not making it too long - But I want to explain to you that I found it best to restrict myself to some of W. Ewing's less well-known books. "Jackanapes" &

The "Short Slip" are so well known now & have been so very much noticed - ( + an sketch going to be written about again by a Professor Baruch, a great friend of W. Ewing's) that Miss Gatty, who was with me last week, & I decided to take up some of her others, which shd be better known than they are - I don't think you will mind this, as you left me pretty free - I have been rather puzzled what to call the article - in the meantime I have settled it "W. Ewing's less well known books" -

Can you suggest anything better?

I hope you will come to see me any other day than Sunday, that may suit you - I am always at home on Saturdays -

Could you come to luncheon some day or is that quite impossible? Besides the pleasure I shd have in seeing you again, I have a friend who has written to you sometimes, but never met you, who wd like to meet you, & whom I know

you wd like - She is one of  
the leading Catholics here &  
a great authority on many  
subjects of "burning" interest -  
Mrs. Cleave is to be with  
her shortly - If you wd  
come to Lancaster in about  
a fortnight, I wd ask Mrs.  
Cleave too - But besides  
this I shd be very pleased if  
you wd call, perhaps on a  
Saturday -

Yours very sincerely  
M. L. Milesworth

Grand Hotel, Royal  
France  
11 Aug. 1889.



Dear Sir,

For Edgar Vincent  
before leaving Europe gave me  
some notes for an article he  
had intended writing for your  
Review on the state of affairs  
in Egypt and asked me to  
put them together in the course  
of this month. My cure here  
unfortunately takes up much  
time so that I have not been  
able to get on fast with the notes.  
I write to ask you kindly to let  
me know on what date you  
must have the manuscript so  
as to be able to publish it in the  
September number, also about  
how many words you or pages

Yours



you have room for. I find  
it difficult to say much new  
on so well-worn a subject,  
but Sir Edgar's opinion on the  
financial policy which ought to  
be pursued in the future is valuable.  
There would be some padding about  
Public Works - army with an  
account of the daily life of the  
fellah given in more detail than  
I have seen published in Review  
articles. My address will be  
as above for ten days.

Yours very truly  
H. Witt Moxley

16 September 1889 -

M

37 ALBEMARLE STREET,  
PICCADILLY, W.

Dear Sirs, I have much pleasure  
in acknowledging the receipt  
of your letter of the 12th inst  
and of the cheque for sixteen  
Quineas which you are kind  
enough to send me for the  
article on Egypt which I sent  
you in last month. I regret  
Mr Bunting's absence from  
town as I should have been  
glad to hear his opinion on the  
 merits of the paper and intended  
 calling on him today. As I  
 leave town for Paris tomorrow  
I fear I may not soon have  
 another opportunity of meeting  
him. A word of criticism  
from

THEATRE BARAQUEIA FC  
W. Y. 2119 A 9919

from him & so far it would  
be very welcome. I shall  
be Grand Hotel Paris for  
the rest of this week but a  
word here or elsewhere th  
would always be forwarded.  
With best thanks

yours very truly  
H. W. H. Monley

P.S. <sup>as</sup> I am not a clergy man