

22, HYDE PARK GATE,
S.W.

21.6.93

Dear Sir,

I am too old in vision to
reply to your using my
estate when you think fit.

As a proof come today I
have returned it. It was
so full of bad misprints
that I should wish to see
a revise. They were probably
due to my hasty writing
as I do forget that the attack

would be wanted.

The same cause ^{has} led to some
obscurities, I fear wh. I could
remove or diminish if you
could not mind my
altering a few sentences
more radically than I have
done. But it does not
much matter.

Yours truly

Stephen

STATE PARK GATE

S.W.

22, HYDE PARK GATE,
S.W.

3. 11. 94

My dear Tim,

I am very sorry that I cannot
help you in regard to Froude.
My chief reason is that I am
at present almost overwhelmed
by the task of writing my brother's
life. Froude would be, moreover,
a specially delicate subject for
me. He was very kind to me
personally & one of my brother's
best friends; and I have the

highest admiration for his literary
qualities - But there were
points about his character and
writings which I could never
understand; and to speak honestly
and in a friendly way & yet
with due discrimination would
be so difficult for me that I
certainly cannot even attempt it
now.

Yours very truly

L. Stephen

THE NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY

ASTOR LENOX TILDEN FOUNDATION

13, HYDE PARK GATE SOUTH.

S. W.

4. 12. 63

My dear Sir,

I am sorry to say that I
am still quite unable to
undertake such work as

you kindly propose. The

distemper gives me

trouble enough & I have

in addition to duty of preparing
some lectures for Cambridge -
When the dictionary begins to
be published, I may hope for
compensative business. We shall
have got our machinery to
work. But at present I
must make attempt anywhere
else. Yours very truly Stephen

12 HYDE PARK GATE SOUTH

20

22, HYDE PARK GATE,
S.W.

30. 5. 91

My dear Sir,

I am much obliged by your
note.

Sir A. Stephen's address is

Sir A. S. G. C. M. G.

Sydney

New South Wales.

Will you kindly send the
copies of the article to him
direct & I have no doubt

your P.S. with how. I have been seriously ill & though I am recovering, I do not feel able to make any promises at present. I have some tasks on hand & don't know whether I shall have any superfluous strength. If I see my way later to write anything suitable, I will communicate with you - I should be very glad to be a contributor if I can contribute to anything.

that the 100 will be quite
enough.

I hope that they will reach
him in time, as he is 89
or 90 years old & was
ill when I last heard from
him -

Many thanks for your
kind attention.

Yours very truly

Stephen

(the inside)

I missed

22, HYDE PARK GATE,

S.W.

28. Apr 1911

Dear Sir

Many thanks for your
prompt reply - hope you be
so good as to send the
Proofs ~~to me~~ of Sir Alfred's
Article to me in due time

Yrs faithfully

L. Heber

2. HYDE PARK GATE

S. W.

22. HYDE PARK GATE,

23. Apr / 91 S.W.

Dear Sir

My Cousin Sir Alfred Stephens
formerly Chief Justice of
New South Wales, who is now
nearly 90 & a kind of Patriarch
in the Colony has taken up
the question of Divorce, he
has recently sent me a

paper in which he replied
to some of Mr. Gladstone's
arguments upon the subject
he wishes me to get it
published in some English
magazine. If there is any
chance of your taking it
for the 'Contemporary' I

should be very glad to send
the MS for your consideration

Yrs truly

Leslie Stephen


St. Ives
Cornwall

19. 8. 91

My dear Sir,

On reflection, I do not feel
able to write an article about
Lowell now. It is not
necessary to go into my
reasons: & I will only
apologize for not having
sent a more decided
reply at once.

Yours sincerely Stephen



22. HYDE PARK GATE,
S.W.

10. 4. 89

Dear Sir,

Thanks for your note about
my lecture at Foyatbee Hall.
I have been compelled by my
health to abstain from all
needless work and, though
now better, I have to be
still very cautious. The
lecture was therefore very

roughly written; and I could
not push it into a fit
state for publication without
taking more trouble than
I feel equal to at present
- perhaps more than the
thing is worth. - I will
look at it again in a few
days & if it seems worth
while, I will write again. More
probably, I fear, it will go into the
waste paper basket. Yours sincerely
Stephen

55 HARBOR PARK GATE

P-W

22, HYDE PARK GATE,
S.W.

1. 6. 87

My dear Sir,

It would be impossible for
me to write about Thackeray
on the recently published
letters - There are various
reasons which I cannot
now explain.

I am hoping to get
my head above water

Some time so as to be
able to write an occasional
article: but I have been
ill & am so deeply imbedded
in dictionary that I cannot
think of anything else
till after the summer at
any rate.

Yours faithfully

Stephen

32. HYDE PARK GATE.

S.W.

May 2. 1891
32, DE VERE GARDENS. W.

My dear Sir

If you had been a
dentist I should be
happy to write for the Contemporary
Review. My terms are 50
guineas for an article of not less
than 10,000 words

I am y. obedt. servant

J. Stephen

35. DE VEEB GARDENS. M.

S May 15. 1863

32, DE VERE GARDENS. W.

Sir

My time is so much
occupied that I am
unable at present to
write upon the subject
which you suggest to
me.

I am,
faithfully yr

Stephen
P. W. Bunting Esq

25 DE JUNE 1912

6 St. Kilda's Rd
Harrow on the Hill

March 28/1892.

Dear Sir,

Encouraged by my friend
Mr Ph. Wicksteed, I
take the liberty of offering
you for the "Contemporary
Review" my article on
"The Purchasing power of
Wages."

This article (originally written
as a lecture) is a sort of
very concentrated ~~résumé~~

and rather fragmentary résumé
of a somewhat large
book on the Variations
in the Standard of Comfort
of English Wage-earners,
upon which I have
been engaged for some
years, and which I
hope to publish (in
English) early next year.

After Easter I am going
to deliver a course of
eight lectures on the
subject at University
Hall.

The subject is, as you are
aware of, a new one
to treat of for the economic

historian. Hence the
novel interest that
is attached to the
general results and
ideas derived from its
study.

If my English has too
strong a foreign flavour
(I am a Swede, having
lived for some five years
in England), Mr Wicksteed
is kind enough to offer
to revise it. I should
however like to know
if the subject and its
treatment suit your
Review, before I trouble
so well occupied a man
as Mr Wicksteed.

The diagrams would con-
siderably heighten the
interest of the article.
They are photographic repro-
ductions of large coloured
lecturing-charts. The photo-
graph of chart II is a
little faint, but the only
print I have for the mo-
ment. I have got the
negatives and can procure
^(of both charts) better prints any day -
if required.

I am, dear Sir,
faithfully yours

Gustav Steffen

P. W. Bunting Esq.

Editor of the "Contemporary Review".

Sydney N. S. W.
8th Aug. 1891.

Sir.

I need hardly
say, that — in common
with other supporters of
our N. S. W. Divorce
Extension measure, — I
was greatly gratified by
the appearance of my
paper in Divorce Law.
It has excited naturally
much attention here, and
in the Sister Colonies — &
been the subject of sundry
comments in the Newspa-
-per Press. But the

supply of your Review
has been by no means
equal to the demand.
The additional copies
which I had ordered to
have not yet arrived;
and they will not fully
meet the desired circu-
lation — which I de-
-sire to extend, in a
less bulky form, to
correspondents in the
United States. —

I ask the great
favour of you, therefore,
to allow me to reprint
my

my Divorce Article in
pamphlet form, in this
Colony. The circulation
would be gratuitous — &
the reprint could not
take place, — even on
the supposition of an
early as well as favo-
-rable reply to this appli-
-cation, — earlier than
December next.

I am faithfully yours

Alfred Stephens

The Editor
Contemporary Review.
c/o Messrs. Isbister &
London. Co. =

Sir Alfred Stephen pre-
sents his compliments
and cordial thanks to
Messrs Isbister and Co.
for their courtesy in
sending him copies of
the reprint of his Article
in the "Contemporary."

24 College St. Sydney

24 August 1891


44 Hills Road
Cambridge.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I wonder if you will approve of an article sent by this post as suitable for the Contemporary Review. If you do I shall be much gratified. I am standing for a chair of history at Glasgow University, and hoped to have had it ready in time for publication in some June magazine or review of June, so as to influence the electors, possibly, in my favour. But the fates were against me, and I could not get it done in reasonable time. Nevertheless the contentions in the article are true, & whether it is published or not in time to help my chances at Glasgow does not matter to any one save myself. I hope you will like the article, as it contains the result of much thought & much study of foreign historical schools.

Believe me to be
Yr faithful
A. Monc Stephenson.

24 Apr. 1904.



Personal.

8 rue Lebon
Paris-Ternes

Paris, Jan. 31, '85.

To The Editor of The Contemporary Review.

My dear Sir,

I am preparing an article to be entitled "Fenianism of the Old School & Fenianism of the New," in which I purpose making several revelations regarding the national movement which I had supreme charge of in 1865 and the preceding years, and other revelations on the present dynamite conspiracy. The purport of the article will be to show the difference that exists between the Fenians properly so called and the dynamitards who have usurped the name of Fenians; and to suggest the only truly reasonable solution of existing Anglo-Irish difficulties. If you fancy the idea of such a contribution for The Contemporary Review I should be only too happy to forward you the MS. for trial.

Awaiting the favor of an early reply, I am,

my dear Sir,

Yours faithfully,

James Stephens.

