

Major Wm. K. Baswell  
March 2<sup>d</sup> 1860  
Springfield, Erie Co. N. Y.

asks for copies of  
late speeches for circu-  
lation.

10,660



Springville, Erie Co. N. Y.  
March 2, 1860.

Dear Sir,

On the return of Major Wm.  
K. Baswell, from Virginia, I accompanied  
him here, to his home. In reference to  
a conversation which he informs me he  
had with you at Washington, a few days  
since, he requests me to remind you  
of his wish to be supplied with doc-  
uments, &c. A few copies of your late  
speech in the Senate would be accept-  
able, as he has promised them to  
some of his neighbors.

I shall return to New York  
to-morrow.

Yours truly

Anderson

Hon. S. A. Douglas  
Washington



*Handwritten text in red ink, partially obscured by a yellowed strip of paper at the top. The text is mirrored across the fold, suggesting it was written on the reverse side of the paper.*



Chicago March 2, 1860  
Hon S. W. Douglas  
Washington, Dear Sir,

I desire  
not to tax your valuable  
time with what may possibly  
be unnecessary business, but  
I feel anxious that the  
bill you pass'd through  
the Senate at its last  
Session, but which was  
not reached in the House  
confirming the titles to  
the purchases of lots in  
Fort Dearborn add<sup>d</sup> to  
Chicago, should this Session  
become a law. May I  
ask that you will give  
it another trial & when

it shall have pass'd the Senate  
place it in the hands of Mr  
Farnsworth or some other  
Representative who will  
urge it through the House.

Mr Farnsworth wrote  
me at the last Session  
he would give it his  
support & influence,

With Respect to  
your obt servant

W. A. Purck

J. W. Burch

March 2 - 1860

Chicago, Illinois

Wishing that the Bill for  
confirming the title to  
purchasers of lots in Port  
Scarborn, may again  
pass the Senate, & then  
Mr. Farnsworth of the House  
would take it up.



Greencastle Mo March 2<sup>nd</sup> /60  
Dear Stearns & Douglas

Sir after long respects to you I take the liberty of addressing you a few lines and in doing so my object is to wit I shall take a debate on the 26<sup>th</sup> of this month on the rest of the Legislature of the Territory & Legislature on the question of slavery with Mr B Broder man of the judges of this County when I moved to the state of Missouri from Iowa 2 years ago and located in the town of Greencastle Sullivan County state of Mo. I found that John Thomas of the paper did not understand the true meaning of the Cincinnati Platform but I went to work and have had several debates on the principles and to day you have the majority in your favor in this town and vicinity and I want you to send me some public documents to help me to show to the people <sup>the</sup> kind of Democracy I have seen in the state Legislature 2 sessions in Iowa from the County of Van Buren and have always been a Democrat and ever expect to be send me all the documents you can as our Senator Jas Green is floundering the County with what he calls democracy

DEAWO

But me like your self please difrent  
 and not flatering you you ar the  
 first choice of the magority of the  
 Democracy of this state but the petitions  
 ar gainst you for this reason I blave  
 that is the wond James Green ar he  
 rather wants to be the Condate for the  
 vispresident and if you get the nomint  
 he thinks this chance shime you may  
 think this rather coming riting but  
 that is the way I was rowd to acit  
 in old Kentucky my native state  
 plus send me what ever kind of  
 dockment you think will be best  
 to aronce an cause and you may  
 asure the shall be used to the  
 best advantage yours in fact

J J Cassady  
 P M A

Greencastle  
 Sullivan County  
 Mo

J. J. Casady, P. M.

Greencastle, Sullivan  
 County, Missouri.

March 2 - 1860

A good Douglas Democrat;  
 two year in Legislature; his  
 town all Douglas; speaks  
 for speaker & Am. party.

5 x 6 1/2

10,663

Paterson New Jersey March 27<sup>th</sup> 1860

Hon. Stephen A. Douglas

Dear Sir

Will you please send me two or three  
copies of your speech made on the  
29 of Feb in reply to Mr. Geward

And Oblidge,

Yours Very Respectfully

Allen Glass

Allen Cluys

March 2<sup>d</sup> - 1860

Paterson - New Jersey

asks for speech in  
reply to Seward - of 29<sup>th</sup> Feb  
1860.

N H Coats  
of Conn.  
New York March 2/60

Political

10,664

(Private)  
New York March 2/60  
Sir  
The election in Connecticut is soon to be held and the prospect of defeat or success at this election will have an important influence <sup>on</sup> the final result next fall. I suppose your interests there are being attended to.

Major Northey from Connecticut was here today consulting about Speakers for the coming Conv. and looking to Wall St. for money.

Major Northey is the Secretary of Colts Manufacturing Co

and claim to be your  
friend. Your friends  
be foremost in their  
efforts in carrying the  
Connecticut Election

Yours Truly  
Wm S. A. Douglass

H. H. Coats

P.S. I leave for home  
this P.M.





that they had all got on his platform & all most crowd'd him off  
the old abolitionists have nothing to do now but stand still & let those new converts  
work. by their silence in the matter they think it is a good cure for their enmity  
when the execution of John Brown took place the sympathisers from the too  
fallen parties out numbered all the rest what was call'd the old ultra  
abolitionist found out that they did not stand no wares to compare with the  
new stock that had join'd them. about the time old John was going up  
they held prayer meetings & sermons preacht & sympathising meetings in  
every part of the city where they could find room & told the bells  
till they concluded the old man had arrived safe in heaven they even said  
that about this time that good old mans soul & spirit had swung up into  
immortality & yet they are trying to make the people in joining states  
believe that they are loyal to the constitution of the united states  
I have had sum chance of a litle comon sence arguement with sum of them  
since they gave the old man such a fair start why they dont take old Browns  
~~own~~ weapons & follow him delays are dangerous & if they want to make a shure  
thing of it start while the courage is good but they lack of courage & will sooner  
risk a hell than Browns kind of heaven. but poor old garet smith got cheated  
out of heaven by his best fends. by runing him off to the asilalm & will finally  
bee lost forever. well I suppose we have had a nuf on that part of the question  
I suppose when you was in preport in 1858 you could see the fanatical principal that  
prevalid there at that time. beter than I can describe it to you. you recollect  
when old alic made his answer in regard to the peopal of a teratory wen they applide  
to bee admitted in the union in deed of egypt he did say that if he was  
a member of congress & he was shure the peopal of a teratory had a fair chance  
& wanted a slave constitution he supposed he would have to let them cum  
wel they gave louder chears for old alic then than on any other oasion when  
they found his arguaments was the weakest they cheard the loudest

when a fanatical <sup>principal takes</sup> possession of the mind argument is of no use John Browns  
acts was but the necessary result of what had bin preached from the pulpit & the stump  
for the last 30 years the constitution is nothing the union is nothing but abolition  
universal peacefully if we can. forcibly if we must with out any consideration ~~what~~  
what is to be done with the negro but to let them all loose at onst so that  
emalgamation may commence in there own way in 1861. they have bin ~~held~~ held back  
so long from that desired object that they cant stand it no longer & many of them  
cant wait till that time comes. I have often thought it was beter to be vice  
than to be president & have found out that all of our strict old constitutional  
men has generally failed of reaching the chair Henry Clay never could be  
president ~~because~~ because his strict adhearance to the constitution & his sentiments  
was nowan to the world before he got the nomination his political sins  
consisted of being in 1844 just what he had bin for years before so you  
see that my sins & your oans consists of being always constituhanly vice on  
the negro question there is two great streams between the north & south the south  
demands protection in the territories & the north demands prohibition  
one about as reasonable as the other but when the north started  
there crusad on the territories they only took that advantage which they  
had bin looking for with that fanatical eye for years when their  
hole intention was to strike a death blow to slavery where it had  
existed ever since the constitution & was part & parcel of it. the south  
has becom weakend by there demands for protection but if they  
will now drop that at this late day & combine there strenght on the  
conservative principal there is still hope in there case & without  
A northern candidate I dont see any chance for them they had beter  
leave the union at onst than be stripped of all constitutional vice  
as several of the free states has now pas state laws so conflicting ~~with~~  
with the constitution of the united states that the tw cant remain long

together with out the white peopel in the south becoming more  
slaves to the north than the negroes ar now ~~to~~ to the south no man  
in the north can say that suthern men has come in the free states too  
establissh slavery in there midst or eaven trulald there free luv  
institutions of any kind the north has not had there property stolen  
from them what they hold under the constitution & those north states that  
have past laws in defiance of the federal government still clame their seats  
in congress the same as if they bee longd to the union when it is virtually  
dissolved by their state laws ( now sir I have never trulald you with A  
scribal before but please answer this I will give <sup>ent</sup> my opinion on the present  
question confidentially between you & my self & hope that you will not  
betray that confidence which ought to exist between all law abiding  
men your nomination at charleston is certin or els the south is nothing  
now money will save all & if you get the nomination I can give you my plan  
now as well as after if the money power of old tammany hall & can be brought  
in full force in the north part of this state from the ill river north  
there can be a shure thing made of it my helth is not very good but I have  
got lots of friends that will be willing to receive my advise at any time  
for laying the roads so as to be shure of victory there has got to be  
A greater effort made than when you was elected to the senat in 58 still  
I dont think the greatest nois acomplishes the greatest object not all was  
in the first place the peopel in northern illinois & suthern wisconsin <sup>are</sup> prest more  
hard in many matters than any other nation on this earth & with many suffisient  
to give relief to the farming community for a term of 20 or 30 years will turn the fanatics  
in to conservative men more than all the argaments that can be produste  
money in small sums secured by trust deades at lawful interest would  
make the greatest change hear of any thing that I know of that & the cathalik  
churches to gether would make a shure thing of it the cathalik party can  
bee bought cheap by applyng to the priest first I write vice more if I had room  
please answer this confidentially my polaticks has always bin that of the  
old line wigs & my greater sins is that I have never changed on the negro question  
nor constitutional sites as our fathers made them & any man that cant  
proclame his sentiments in the south as well as the north I dont want  
to hear one word of when you vice me an answer to this if you want any  
reparances I can give you all that you may need my post ofis address is

Stephen Coshun Rockford Winnebago Co a box three hundred 7

Stephen A Douglas

Stephen Coshen  
Rockford,  
Ills

March 1860.

Political  
=



Accokeek Stafford Co. Va  
March 2<sup>nd</sup> 1860.

Hon. Stephen A. Douglass:

or Sir

The Batt.

Our of yesterday gives a report of a speech recently delivered in the Senate by the Hon. Wm. A. Seward of "irreproachable conflict" notariety - also your reply which is spoken of as a complete refutation of that gentleman's peculiar adiosynonimies upon the much vexed question. You will confer a favor upon the writer of this - & several other gentlemen by sending several copies of both speeches to this office. Direct them to my address & I will distribute them. In haste  
Yours Very Respectfully -

W. W. Cox

W. H. B. Cox

March 2 - 1860

Accokeek, Stafford Co. Va.

asks for several copies  
of reply to Seward, for  
distribution.

Wm G Daniels  
Chicago. Ill  
March 2. 1860.



10 667

Chicago March 2<sup>d</sup> 1860  
Hon S A Douglas  
Dear Friend

It is with  
no ordinary feeling of gratification that I  
congratulate you on the auspicious prospects  
which have glimmered from the numerous  
conventions that have assembled throughout  
the different States.

Your friends here and  
all over the "Prairie States" are sanguine of  
your nomination at Charleston; and as  
a premonitory sign of the times, the friends  
of Union, Manhood and faithfulness to  
States Rights are working here day and  
night to show your enemies that Chicago  
has been redeemed, never in the history  
of this city has there been such  
enthusiasm displayed never has there  
been such a unanimous desire, as  
now, to crush for ever the quintessence

of falsehood, scandal, and treachery,  
John Wentworth. The fulmination of the  
Indiana Senators, and the "Sound and  
fury of the" "Slave Code" are laugh-  
-ed to scorn by your reliable and  
faithfull constituents, the signs are that  
on the 23<sup>d</sup> day of April 1860 the  
"Institute Building" in the queen city of  
the South will hear eloquent and  
Stentorian voices saying

"Stand firm and fight"

For truth and right

"A Douglas to the fray,

At all the meetings there is a ripe  
and healthy determination to do and  
to be heard in the present and  
coming ~~contest~~ canvass, and I can  
assure you that the city will  
go Democratic on Tuesday next.

I am generally slow to believe  
and when I make up my mind  
I generally stick to it. I say again  
the City will go Democratic on

Tuesday next. It is unnecessary  
for me to I presume to inform  
you that your friends sincerely  
pray for your future good  
health and prosperity.

Remember  
me kindly to your amiable  
Lady, accept my best wishes  
for yourself and believe me  
your sincere friend.

Wm. Daniel  
P.O. Box 1808,

P.S. I would be pleased to  
hear from you

Alexander Davis

March 2 1860

Newton, Iowa.

asks for political doct.  
suitable for campaign.

10,668

Newton Iowa  
March 2<sup>d</sup> 1860

Hon Stephen A Douglas U.S.  
Sir

Having  
Had the honor of your  
acquaintance while living in  
Morgan Co. Ill. during the  
time you presided as judge  
in that district. and where  
I had the pleasure of aiding  
by my vote the good cause  
of Democracy when you were  
Standard bearer. I look forward  
to the time when I may  
again rally under the banner  
of the Champion of popular  
sovereignty. in the coming  
great contest for the Presidency

In view of the importance  
of the coming canvass  
and when the doctrines of  
the Democratic party in regard  
to the Slavery question will  
be attacked with all the  
zeal and malignity of an  
unprincipled opposition. It  
is the duty of every democrat  
to put himself upon the  
issues of the Campaign.

Will you therefore please send  
me some documents suitable  
for the times, and oblige  
an old friend and popular  
sovereignty democrat

Very Respectfully  
Alexander Davis

H. W. Hurlbut  
Des Moines, Iowa -  
March 2<sup>d</sup> - 1860

Democracy beaten unless  
Judge is nominated at  
Charleston.  
asks for Doc's speeches.



10,669

Representative Hall,  
Des Moines Iowa,  
March 2<sup>d</sup> 1860,  
Hon  
S. S. Hurlbut,

How does matters  
stand a Washington, has  
the South backed down  
from the Cincinnati  
platform? If so what  
will be the consequence?  
It cannot be possible  
that the Democracy  
can sustain themselves  
by so doing. We regret  
no documents here from  
Congress, from any  
source, and can  
know but little of your  
proceedings. We have  
great hopes that we can  
carry Iowa with you.  
Yours Hurlbut



*Faint, illegible handwriting on the right flap, possibly including a name and address.*

*The body of the letter is filled with dense, illegible handwriting, likely in cursive, covering most of the page.*

D. W. Greer, Secy.  
Morgantown, W. Va. March 25 1860  
announcing the app't of  
Judge as Honorary Member  
of the Monongalia Academy  
Reading Room Association.



10,670

W. Va.  
Morgantown, March 25

Act March 25/60.

C. Hon Stephen A. Douglass

Or Sir

I take this opportunity to inform you of your election as an Honorary Member of the Monongalia Academy Reading Room Association.

With much respect and deference  
I remain,  
Yours

D. W. Greer, Secy. R. R.



Dear Sir  
 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. in relation to the above mentioned matter. I am sorry to hear that you are not satisfied with the result of the investigation. I will endeavor to do all in my power to rectify the same. I am, Sir, very respectfully,  
 Yours,  
 J. B. [Name]

[Faint, mostly illegible text on the right side of the letter, possibly bleed-through or very light handwriting.]

10,671

Pittsburgh March 2<sup>nd</sup> /60

Hon Stephen A Douglass.

Sir.

You will

confer a great favour on me of your many admirers in our "Smoky City" by sending me your autograph

Respectfully Yours

Jay J Howard

To the Hon Stephen A Douglass U.S. Senator

Gay Y. Howard  
March 2-1860  
Pittsburgh, Pa

asks for Autograph.

Danville, March 2<sup>d</sup>.

My Dear Sir.

Some time since I received a letter from Mr Banks and replied to it through you. Thinking that some knowledge of your prospects in this state might not be uninteresting I have concluded to give you my opinion of them.

The only drawback to a united support of you is Squeatter Sovereignty. The democrats of Va made such a noise about it in 1852 to defeat the nomination of Genl Cass, that, a back out at once is rather against the grain. The opinion is, however, rapidly spreading that, practically there is nothing in it, and, with the spread of this opinion your prospects increase. Were it not for this objection the democracy of Va would

rally to you enthusiastically after seeing that neither Wise or Hunter could be nominated. Besides this, there is another element operating most powerfully in your behalf; viz, the belief that you alone can be elected. This carried the state for Buchanan in 1856 on the first ballot, and, will carry it for you secondarily in 1860. The irritation consequent from John Brown is nearly died out, and, nearly every body desire success next fall anxiously to avoid disunion. The people of Va are against disunion except as a last resort, and, therefore go for the most available candidate. The defeat of the Black Republicans is the great desideratum with them. To sum up the whole matter, and, the opinion is formed from association with delegates in the late state convention and observation

of public feeling I think it now certain that secondarily you will carry the delegation for the following reasons.

1st. There are in every district original friends of yours.

2dly. The belief that you can be elected and, that, nobody else can.

3dly. Your friends take but little interest in the fight between Wise and Hunter, and, mean to see only, that, the delegates appointed are not hostile to you after they fall. You now have my opinion and the reasons for it. I know it is correct. //

I desire you to frank, or, have franked to me at this place a supply of your late speech on the invasion of states, and, also any other documents showing the fact of your real, and, to me, well known and consistent fidelity to the constitution. You can shew this letter to Pryor and Boock.

I shall next week want

them, as, I intend preparing with  
care a series of communications  
for the Examiner in presentation  
of your claims provided it is  
shewn at Charleston, that, neither  
Wise, or, Hunter can be nominated.  
The fact is, the quarrel between  
them has ~~called~~ killed both.

Tell Pryor I have quit  
liquor, and, that, he must  
quit making so many speeches.  
One more "set speech" during the  
session should be his limit.

I am  
Very truly  
your ob. st.  
Chas. Irving

Hon.  
S. A. Douglass.

Washington  
D.C.

Frank Leslie  
March 2<sup>d</sup> 1860  
19 City Hall Square, N. Y. City.

asks that Whitehurst may  
be allowed to take his  
portrait, & wishes literary  
matter, platform &c to  
accompany it.

Received  
March 9<sup>th</sup> 60

(Sent)

10,673

Office of Frank Leslie's Publications,  
~~12 FRANKFORT STREET,~~  
19 City Hall Square  
New York, March 2 1860.

Senator Douglass

Will you favor me by  
allowing Whitehurst to  
take your portrait, and  
also by furnishing me with  
the literary matter, platform  
&c to accompany it.

Yours truly  
Frank Leslie

Office of George & John's Foundations



*[Faint, illegible handwritten text in the upper right quadrant]*

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text in the lower right quadrant]*

*[Large area of heavily scribbled and illegible handwriting covering the left and center portions of the page]*

"Bourbon House"

Lexington Mo. Mar. 2<sup>nd</sup> 60

Dear Sir,

(You have my thanks for your "Invasion Speech" which are in demand here, so much so I handed a young friend of yours one to read yesterday (Mr. Henry Dement of Ill.) who is sojourning with me, enroute to Peter Peake, who says a Country <sup>friend</sup> of mine took <sup>it</sup> from him by impertunity.

This Speech has convinced <sup>me</sup> that I should, as briefly as I can, relate an outrage upon one of our citizens in Kansas territory, not long since



done by mobs to private  
property. Such a law would  
prompt the citizens of every  
state or territory to deal justly  
with their neighbours, as they  
would be responsible for the  
value of property & heavy damages  
for all property detained  
& wrongfully withheld by  
mob violence from individuals.

I have not seen the details  
or provisions of your bill &  
therefore make this suggestion.

I mailed you my review  
of Senator Green's speech last  
week & will send you the Con-  
clusion this. If you have  
time please point out the errors.

truly your friend &c  
N. W. Lutton  
ii

W. W. Litten

Lexington, Missouri

March 2<sup>d</sup> - 1860

Outrage on Missouri citizens  
in Kansas Territory &c.



