

Received Hartford September 15th 1863. —
of H. K. Carter Administrator on the Estate of
Mrs Eulalia Whitlock Deceased One hundred
and Eleven 91/100 Dollars it being in full
of all dividends received on Bank stocks and
otherwise up to this date & held by Mrs Whitlock
at the time of her decease, after deducting
funeral expenses and other charges accruing
up to this date in settling the affairs of the
Estate. —

\$111 $\frac{91}{100}$

Elizabeth Bertram

\$154 ¹⁸/₁₀₀

Received Hartford January 15th 1864. of H K Carter
Administrator, a check at sight, drawn by the
Farmers & Mechanics ^{Bank} of Hartford, on the Continental
Bank of New York City, for one hundred fifty
four ¹⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars dated Jan: 15th 1864. the
amount being in full for dividends received
on Bank Stock, up to Jan: 1st 1864. —

Elisabeth Bertram

Copy

Chicago Aug. 5th 1870
Geo: B. Curtis Esq. ~~Cashier~~
Cashier of Mechanics Bank
New Haven Conn.

Order


Miss Elizabeth Bertram
who has been receiving the dividends
from the Bank Stock in the name
of Mrs. Evadne Whitlock ~~See. Bertram~~
died in Jamaica L.I. N. York
June 22nd 1870, you will therefore
retain all dividends accruing
since that time subject to
my order.

Yours Respectfully
H. H. Curtis adm^r

Also wrote to the New Haven
Bank as above -

[Faint, mirrored handwriting, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. The text is illegible due to fading and orientation.]

From
Mechanics Bank,
New Haven,
Conn.



M. K. Carter Esq.
Hartford.

ans^d Jan 1864

Ch



Mechanics Bank,New Haven, Conn., Aug 8th 1870.W. K. Carter ~~Attorney~~.

Chicago, Ill.

Sir:

Yours of 6th instant

received with enclosure.


~~Enclosure~~ for collection.

84th dividend paid July 1/70. For Eulalia Whitlock & Elizabeth Putnam \$25. each = \$42. was sent to Miss E. B. in Lft in New York paid to her order, July 28th & addressed "care Rev. Mr. L. Johnson &c, -
 These dividends are still held subject to your order,

Bank: 591-50 check on New York
 dividend 42.00 cash
 \$633.50

Very Respectfully.

Geo. B. Curtiss Cashier.

WILBUR F. DAY,
President.

HENRY TROWBRIDGE,
Vice President.

AMOS TOWNSEND,
Cashier.

Capital, \$464,800.

THE NATIONAL NEW HAVEN BANK,

Late New Haven Bank, Incorporated 1792.

NEW HAVEN, CONN.,

Aug 8 1870

H. K. Carter Esq
Adm^r
Dr Sir

Your note of 6th inst announcing
the decease of Miss Portman is received.

As she had formerly requested the dividend
on her own stock via Mr Whitlock's to be sent
to her; we sent checks for each to her address
July 5th. Neither of them have yet been
presented for payment, & of course we shall
not pay the one for Mrs Portman's dividend & so on
without your sanction.

Whether Miss Portman's Estate will be entitled to
the earned, or accrued income to the date
of her death, will perhaps depend on the
recorded terms on which she was to receive
it.

Please inform us what to do, if the check
should appear endorsed by the legal repre-
sentative of Miss Portman's Estate.

Respectfully Yours
Amos Townsend Cash

com^d is aug⁵ 12 1870: to retain
all interest accruing subsequent
to June 22 1870

Handl. Bury
Nov: 7th 1871.
\$1000

Estate of Estaline Whillock Dec cash ad?

1866 March 8 - Lawyers fees	23.25
" " 26 - Cash adv? Mortgage	4,997.67
" Nov: 1 " " "	256 2 15
" " 1 " Traveling expenses	45.00
1868 March 19 Insurance	16.75
1869 " " debts	16.75
1869 Feb: 14 Cash Cogswells bill	289.73
1870 Oct. 26 traveling expenses	70 00
	8,051.30

Interest on above to Nov 20/70 240 6 23

1871 ¹⁴ May 6 Red ann. of 2 mortgage	10,457.53
	3 471.97
	11,929.50

1866	
March 26 ¹⁴ cash paid by Edwin Pascoe	
to 720 Mr Johnson on mortgage of 4997.67	
1800	20 00
9000	allowing for
	5917.67

Balance of Cash in Whillock Bk. Cash acc.

1866 March 8 - January fee 23.22
" " 2d. Cash adv? 497.76
" " " " 256.21

1866 March 19 - 42.00
1866 " " 16.78

1866 " " 16.78
1866 Feb. 14 - Cash against bill 289.73
1870 Oct. 26 - Working expenses 74.00

8031.30
Subtotal on above for 20 2406.23

10,487.23
1871 Feb. 11
1871 Feb. 26

March 26 - Cash paid by William Jones
1871 March 26 - 497.76
1871 " " 256.21
2017.1

	y	mo			
23.25 -	4	9	-	7 ju ch	7.00
4997.67	4	8	to 26 Nov 1870		1633.34
2562.15	4	1			718.90
7500	4	=1			21.50
1675	2	-8			2.34
1675	1	-8			1.97
28973	1	-9			20.63
					<u>2406.53</u>

~~4981.30~~
 70 09

Oct 26. 1870

805130
240653
 10,457,83

65 985

9-1

1895

SCUDDER & CARTER,
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS,
P. O. BOX, 3591. No. 66 Wall Street,

HENRY J. SCUDDER,
JAMES C. CARTER,
TOWNSEND SCUDDER.

New York, April 11th 1871

Mr H Kendall Carter.

Dear Sir

Your favor of March

30th is at hand. and persuades me you did not correctly understand my prior letter. The Jamaica property was sold under the foreclosure of the mortgage made by Mr Johnson & James Forter in 1837 and which mortgage was assigned to Cogswell. by Cogswell to Stoothoff & by the Executors of Stoothoff to you. The foreclosure was carried on in your name and after judgment you assigned it to John H S. Quick. and under this the property was sold.

The mortgage for \$4000 by Johnson & Rider was made in June 1835 and by various assignments became the property of your wife in 1866. The sale of the property was subject to this latter mortgage. and for the purpose of enabling or procuring a better price. I made announcement at the sale that the payment of the amount due on this mortgage might be deferred three months. hence if the purchaser desires it will not be payable until May 23. I wrote you this in my letter of March 2^d. The amount due upon the mortgage under which the sale was made as computed and paid me by Referee is \$3571.92. Deducting from this \$100 for my charges. you can draw on me for \$3471.92. at two or three days sight. that I may not inconvenience you by my absence or accidental engagement. Very truly H. J. Scudder

Longest a draft at 2 or 3 days sight for the reason that should the draft be made at 2 or 3 days sight there is no one here to pay a draft sight.

Ans'd April 25 1871



Mr H Kendall Carter
57 Canondole St
New Orleans
La.



SCUDDER & CARTER,
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS,

No. 66 Wall Street,

P. O. BOX, 3591.

HENRY J. SCUDDER, }
JAMES C. CARTER, }
TOWNSEND SCUDDER. }

New-York, Sept 4th 1871

Dear Sir

We enclose you certified
check for \$137.⁰⁰ of amount paid
on mortgage referred to in ours of 28th
ult. by one Mr. H. J. Scudder, less our
charges of 100⁰⁰ for services. We will
be pleased to receive acknowledgment
of receipt by you.

Very Truly

Scudder & Carter

H. Kendall Carter

Admuntata re

Ans'd Sep. 7 1871

SCUDDER & CARTER
P. O. BOX,
3591
N. Y. CITY.



H Kendall Carter }
Care of H L Lurich Esq
Law & Real Estate Office
41 S Clay St
Chicago, Ill.

9769



SCUDDER & CARTER,
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS,

P. O. BOX, 3591.

No. 66 Wall Street,

HENRY J. SCUDDER, }
JAMES C. CARTER, }
TOWNSEND SCUDDER. }

New York, May 1st 1871

Mr H Kendall Carter


Dear Sir

Your favor of
25th inst. came to hand this morning. After receiving
your request to send certified check, I telegraphed that
you might elect whether to draw, or get check & now
enclose my check on Leather Manufacturers Nat.
Bank of this City, for \$3471⁰⁰/₁₀₀. Certified.

You are quite right in your observation upon the
run of law matters here. I trust however we may
soon settle all, and will acquaint you as the case
develops.

Very Truly

Henry Scudder




1871
May 9
arrived



Containing check

Mr N Kendall Carter
57 Carondelet Street
New Orleans
La.



New Orleans Jan: 24th 1842.
 Mr A. B. Shepperson
 Dear Sir

I was handed yesterday by
 William Symour your note of the
 14th instant - In reference to the
 affairs of the Estate of Mr Whitlock
 I must say that I was very much
 astonished to learn through my Lawyer
 last fall, when in New York, for the
 express purpose of closing my Jamaican
 matters, that the executors of Mr Johnson
 Estate claimed the surplus arising
 from the sale of Mr Johnsons property
 after satisfying the two Mortgages
 under which the property was sold,
 on the plea of the Statute of limitation
 I claim that the surplus whatever
 it may be, belongs justly & honestly
 to the Estate of Mr Whitlock -
 I was disposed to contest the
 matter, but was advised by my
 Lawyer not to do so, as it

Mr. A. B. Chapman
 New Orleans, La. 22nd Sept. 1852

I was handed yesterday by
 William Chapman your note of the
 11th instant. In reference to the
 affairs of the State of Mississippi
 I must say that I was very much
 astonished to learn that you had
 last fall, taken in New York, for the
 express purpose of clearing up some
 matter, that the executors of the late
 State claimed the surplus arising
 from the sale of the late Chapman property
 after satisfying the tax claims
 under which the property was sold,
 on the plea of the State of Louisiana
 I claim that the surplus, whatever
 it may be, belongs justly & lawfully
 to the State of New York & not
 I was disposed to contest the
 matter, but was advised by my
 lawyer not to do so, as it

would only incur expense for nothing, that he had been told by the parties that they would claim it on that ground. I then proceeded to sell Haven and disposed of the small amount of Bank stock & returned to Chicago and made out my account of the Estate of Mrs Whitlock, forwarded it to Hartford to the Judge of the Probate Court to be examined and probated if found correct, which I was informed was done, the exhibits a balance in my favor.

I have not an exact copy of the account here, as all the documents connected with that business are in Chicago.

If you will take the trouble to write to the Judge of the Probate Court in Hartford, he no doubt will forward you a copy of the account.

Under this state of things you will probably receive from Mr. Johnson Estate that which you expected to receive from Mrs. Whitlock Estate, and through which, you ought to have received it, as it is justly belongs.

When the money was raised to stop the sacrifice of a forced sale of the property, as which ^{times} it would not have sold for any thing more than to have covered the mortgage. There was two objects in view, first, to prevent Mr. Johnson and Aunt Betsey from being ^{turned} out of House & home, and the second was, to hold the property till it could be disposed of at a ^{the} advantage in order to save Mrs. Whitlock debt in part, if not the whole, all this was done from the parish and benevolent motives, and for the sole benefit of Mr. Johnson family - Now for the executors of Mr. Johnson Estate to claim that which they know justly and honestly belong to the Estate of Mrs. Whitlock is certainly very ungrateful to say the least of it.

Yours Truly
A. Kendall Currier

To pay the last of it
 of our family
 State of New York
 is certainly very grateful
 to the State of New York

first and foremost to the
 to claim that which they have
 the execution of the Johnson State
 of the Johnson family - transfer
 interest, and for the satisfaction
 you have from the power and duty
 that of not the whole, all this
 order to save the whole bill in
 it could be disposed of advantage in
 stand was to let the property of the
 being out of the Johnsons, and the
 the Johnson and could be taken from
 advice in this, first and, to prevent
 around the mortgage, there was the
 now sold for any thing more than the
 of the property, at which it would not
 stop the mortgage of a future sale
 to let the money be raised to
 remain in place of first delivery
 through which, you ought to have
 receive from the whole State, and
 State that which you expected to
 probably receive from the Johnsons
 than the State of which you will

account -
 will forward you a copy of the
 Court in Hartford, as no doubt
 write to the Judge of the Probate
 of you will take the trouble to
 in Chicago -
 connected with that business are
 the account here, on all the documents
 I have not an exact copy of
 your letter a balance in my favor
 of an information was done, the
 your letter of favor covered which
 Court to be examined and
 Court to the Judge of the Probate
 White, forwarded is to that
 out my account the State of New
 returned to Chicago and made
 small amount of Bank stock &
 when known and disposed of the
 Governor - I then proceeded to
 that they would claim it so that
 that he had been told by the Justice
 would only in our capacity for writing