

State of Louisiana  
City of New Orleans

Before me William Shannon a  
Notary Public in and for  
the City of New Orleans  
State of Louisiana, duly ---  
Commissioned and qualified ---  
Personally came and appeared Frederic P. Bartlett  
of the Parish of Washitoches, in the State, Party  
here to of the first party, and also appeared ---  
Henry Kendall Carter  
of the City of New Orleans, Party here to of  
the second party,

Which said Apppearers declared  
that whereas the said Frederic P. Bartlett, is  
indebted unto the said Henry Kendall Carter  
in the sum of Eight thousand and eight &  $\frac{30}{100}$   
Dollars, money loaned and advanced to him the said  
Bartlett,

Now therefore for the purpose of securing unto said  
Henry Kendall Carter the said sum of Money  
the said Frederic P. Bartlett, does hereby sell the  
undivided two fourths parts of his Plantation  
containing Six hundred acres of land more or  
less situated in the Parish of Washitoches in  
the State, described as follows viz = Fractional -  
Section 18, Township 9, Range Six (6). Containing  
four hundred and twenty one acres - also fractional  
Section thirty one (31) Township Ninth (9), Range  
Seven (7). Containing thirty six acres, and also  
fractional Section One hundred (100). Township Ninth  
(9). Range Seven (7) containing seventy seven acres -  
with the exception of a small portion of Section



No 100, exchanged with Joe Sammis for another -  
portion of land - together with the contents of the  
Improvements thereon, and One half of the Stock  
the whole of which consists of Six Mules, One  
horse, and Twenty-head of Cattle, and also One  
half of the - Implements - of Husbandry - - - -

And which sale he hereby makes  
for and in Consideration of his said indebtedness  
to said Carter. - - - -

In Evidence of which said indebtedness  
the said Bartlett has this day furnished his three  
promissory Notes, dated this day, drawn by  
said Bartlett, each for the sum of two thousand  
Six hundred and Sixty nine  $\frac{4}{100}$  Dollars, payable  
in One, two and three years after date, with 8%  
per annum interest from maturity - until paid -  
said Notes being payable to said Carter. and are  
not negotiable & are also subject to all the stipula-  
tions contained in this Act. - - - -

It is hereby agreed by and  
between said parties. That in case the said Bartlett  
shall well and truly pay unto said Carter  
the said Notes, according to their Tenor, then he  
shall be entitled to the redemption of the  
Property - which he does hereby convey, and by  
absolute right, by reason of the payment of  
the Notes. - - - -

And in case said Bartlett should fail  
at the end of two years, that he cannot pay said  
Notes, he shall have the right to declare and elect  
that the said Carter is the absolute owner of  
the said property, now transferred to him, and  
full release and extinguishment of said indebted-  
ness of said Bartlett. - - - -



It is also agreed that the said Bartlett shall have the free use of said plantation, improvements & stock or free of charge or rent of any kind whatever, for two years. provided he pay all taxes and charges which may be imposed upon by proper authority, during that time, and also on condition that he keep said property in good order. and condition for making crops - and - which crops are to belong exclusively to said Bartlett. for said term of two years unless the said Carter should elect at end of the first or second year to close the agreement as is stipulated hereafter, and in which case only the crops made previous thereto shall belong to said Bartlett, or the said Bartlett should on his part elect to close the agreement at the end of the second year in which case only the crops of said two years shall belong to said Bartlett.

It is also agreed that in case the said Bartlett shall fail to pay the first Note at Maturity, then said Carter shall have the right to declare that and then that he does accept the said Property in full satisfaction of the Debt, and upon his returning to said Bartlett the two Notes aforesaid, the property shall belong to him absolutely. and without any further right of the said Bartlett to a redemption thereof, but at the Maturity of said Notes, said Carter shall be Compelled to elect formally whether he forfeit the right of redemption so accorded to said Bartlett, and in case he elect not to forfeit the right of redemption, which election shall be held and considered as so made, unless notice of forfeiture is duly given at the Maturity of the first Note



payments thereof not having been made, the payment of the first Note shall be delayed and postponed until the Maturity of the second Note and until the right of electing whether the said sale shall be held absolute, shall have accrued to said Cartlett, and that no action shall be or be exercised on any of said Notes, until said Cartlett shall have had an opportunity of so electing.

---

In case the first Note is postponed as aforesaid then at Maturity of the second Note and not before, the said Cartlett shall have the right of electing the forfeiture of the right of redemption in case of non payment by the said Cartlett.

---

It is also agreed that the Crops made by said Cartlett shall be consigned to said Carter as Factor and Commission Merchant, provided he makes advances to produce the same, but the proceeds of the Crops shall be under the Control and subject to the order of said Cartlett, for all such sums as may expend the said advances and such surplus shall not be applied to the payment of the aforesaid Notes, unless so directed by said Cartlett.

---

Whenever the said right of redemption shall have expired, either party shall be entitled to demand a partition in kind of the Property and which partition shall be effected amicably by the petition of any Disputes by each party, which Disputes shall come an umpire if necessary, by whose decision and arbitration each party shall be bound and shall be held to pass an Act of partition in legal form before proper Authority.



This Act is Stamped in Accordance with -  
the Internal Revenue Law by placing Stamps  
hereon for Eighty <sup>50</sup>/<sub>100</sub> Dollars and Cancelling  
the same -

This done and passed in my Office  
at the City of New Orleans in the presence  
of Edward Allen and Garnett Stone -  
Competent witnesses, who sign this present with  
the said parties and me, Notary, on the  
Eighteenth day of February in the year of  
Our Lord One thousand Eight hundred  
and Sixty Eight.  
Original Signed

= S. G. Bartlett =  
= H. Wendall. Carter =  
= E. Allen =  
= Garnett Stone =  
= William Shannon =  
= Notary Public =



A true Copy  
William Shannon

Notary Public.



Filed February 29<sup>th</sup> 1868.  
Geo W. Kearney  
Recorder  
New Orleans Friday 18. 68.

Deputy of Deputy

Thos. G. Daulton

Do

Wm. C. Daulton

Wm. C. Daulton  
Deputy of Deputy

Bank of North Carolina  
Deputy Office  
I certify that the within copy  
was this day recorded in my office in Book B No 2 of No.  
Serial No. 319. 320 + 321.  
In testimony whereof witness my hand  
and official seal, this 29<sup>th</sup> Feb. 1868.  
George W. Kearney  
Recorder



party itself, now, until we are forced to.

In case you decline to elect the forfeiture of the right of redemption at the maturity of the 1<sup>st</sup> note, the payment of that note is postponed until the maturity of the 2<sup>nd</sup> note, by which two thirds of the debt would not mature until 18<sup>th</sup> of February 1870. If the Succession of Bartlett is then able to pay (and we think it is) the property can then be sold to pay you. We believe the estate is solvent.

In conversation with the Attos. of the Succession, they say that the admr. tells them you have made no advances to Bartlett for this crop. If you have done so, send up to us the bill of items, that we may present it and have it admitted and procure the shipment of the crop to you, if we can. We hear that the crop will be <sup>from 20 to</sup> 50 bales.

Very Resp.  
J. M. Levee.  
Person & Levee  
attos.

Wm. & Levee  
Attos. & Levee  
New Orleans  
Sept 5<sup>th</sup> 1868

Natchitoches La. Sept. 5<sup>th</sup> 1868.

H. Kendall Carter

No. 51, Carondelet St.

New Orleans.

Dear Sir,

Your letter of the 28<sup>th</sup>

August was acknowledged a few days ago and we have since seen the Act of Sale from Bartlett to you. From a careful consideration of the instrument we come to the conclusion, that the act is to be treated more in the character of a mortgage than as a sale. We have seen the Attorneys of the Succession and they say that they wish the judicial partition to be made by a sale of the land after the crop is gathered - you to take three fourths of the price in satisfaction of the \$8008.<sup>30</sup> your debt. We are opposed to this as being against your interest, because the land recently appraised is valued at only \$2000 of which your  $\frac{3}{4}$  ths. would be \$1500. if sold at the appraisement, by which you would receive that amount, only, for your debt & if sold for a higher sum say \$4000. near its value, you would get \$3000. for your debt. We think your interest requires you to exact the payment of the three notes given by Bartlett to you payable one two & three years from the 18<sup>th</sup> of Feb.



May 1888, rather than to consent to receive the land in payment and to this position we shall adhere. The deed stipulates that, in case Bartlett pays the notes according to their term (that is to say, accordingly as they mature) then, he shall have the right to redeem the property, and should he find that at the end of two years, he cannot pay said notes, he shall have the right to declare and elect, that the said Carter is the absolute owner of the property. We consider that this clause, "should he find at the end of two years, that he cannot pay these notes" refers to and expresses his ability rather than his inclination and if his Succession is able to pay the first two notes we do not think the administrator or the heirs would have the right to elect the ownership in you of the property.

The deed goes on to say "that in case Bartlett fails to pay the 1<sup>st</sup> note, then the right of redemption is at an end, on your declaration that you accept the property in satisfaction of the debt, the deed requires you to make a formal election and give notice to Bartlett of your declaration that the right of redemption is forfeited and unless this notice is given at the maturity of the 1<sup>st</sup> note, the payment of the first note is postponed until the maturity of the 2<sup>nd</sup>, and until the right of Bartlett shall have accrued of declaring that

the sale is absolute, and that no action shall lie to recover said notes until Bartlett shall have had that opportunity. In case the payment of the 1<sup>st</sup> note is postponed as aforesaid, at the maturity of the 2<sup>nd</sup>, and not before you will have the right of declaring the sale absolute.

In case you make advances Bartlett is to consign his crops to you, the proceeds over the advances to be at Bartlett's Control.

When the right of redemption shall have lapsed either party shall be entitled to a partition in kind of the property, to be effected by the selection of an expert by each party.

The question arises, When does the right of redemption lapse according to the deed?

You have the right to declare, that the right of redemption is at an end on the failure of Bartlett to pay the 1<sup>st</sup> note, on your giving formal notice of your election. Of course you will decline to elect to take the property and the right of redemption will then run on and Bartlett, at the end of two years, will have the right to declare the sale absolute and give up the property in case we consider, he or his Succession is not able to pay, and in that case only. Under this view we consider the application for a partition premature and shall decline to consider the pro-



Natchitoches, La.  
Nov. 26<sup>th</sup> 1868.

H. Kendall Carter Esq  
New Orleans, La

Dear Sir,

Your letter of the 22<sup>nd</sup> Inst. has been rec'd today. No sale of any property of the succession of F. B. Bartlett has been made except of some movables, such as, Cattle Mules &c. The Cotton made by Williams, administrator, has been shipped to New Orleans, out of the proceeds of which, we expect to realize the small amt of 4<sup>th</sup> advances this year. You will please send us a detailed bill of the same, for collection.

The administrator, William Stephen of Bartlett, has acted in such a way recently that his Creditors have seized, by attachments, his property on affidavits that he was about to leave the State permanently. This disorder will probably bring about an earlier sale of the Plantation, in which you were chiefly interested. We do not believe you will be damaged by this proceeding, we seeing that rights are respected. Our first letter gave you our views of the nature of your claim, we considering it only a mortgage on the land & we have told you why this view was to y<sup>r</sup> advantage.



We have also told you that we could not force  
an immediate sale of the property, because the con-  
tract provided for a delay. But if the administrator  
sees proper to sell now, we shall assent to the same  
or not, as we shall think yr interest demands,

As Bartlett has property of value in Mo or Iowa  
any deficiency owing him could be made good on the  
property there as yr debt must be good every where.  
This matter of his property elsewhere should be looked after.  
We shall endeavor to obtain information, Mr James  
A. Gresham, Book-seller, Camp Jt. might aid you in  
the enquiry.

Yours Respectly  
Puisant Day  
by A. H. Puisse

Received & Sent  
26 Nov  
dated 26 Nov 1868  
Answered 5 Dec 1868



Natchitoches. April 14. 1869.

H. Kendall Carter Esq.

New Orleans

3. Dear Sir.

In reply to yours of the 6<sup>th</sup> Ap. inst. we believe you do not understand the act of sale from Bartlett as regards the consignments of cotton. The cotton was to be sent to you "provided you made advances to produce the same, but the proceeds of the crops shall be under the control and subject to the order of said Bartlett for all such sums as may exceed the advances and such surplus shall not be applied to the payment of the afore said notes, unless so directed by said Bartlett" Remark in quotation points the very words of the act, with a copy before us. Our enquiry in a previous (our last) letter was, "Did you wish to raise the question as to your right to have the sale of all the cotton made the last year 1868 or 1867 to realize the commissions on the sales, when the advances, of that year, were for the inconsiderable sum of less than \$40? See the question stated in our letter of 26 March. If you wish or insist on making this question & insist on payment of such commissions as you would have realized, had you had the sales of the cotton, then we must oppose the account of administration & seek to establish your right to such commissions, based on your small advances of say about \$20.00. We are, ourselves, disinclined to make such a demand, but wish to know your opinion of your claims, in







time to oppose the account of adm. in this partic-  
ular. We discourage the attempt, as being one like-  
ly to fail.

Since our letter of 26 March, the attys  
of Bartlett's suc<sup>rs</sup> have made up their minds  
to dispute the fact that the <sup>act</sup> ~~sale~~ bestakes  
more the character of a mortgage than of  
a sale, which is opposed to your interests as  
explained in our former letters, as, if you take  
the property in kind for yr debt, <sup>as by a sale</sup> you only get the  
value of the property, say \$4000. & if you can  
have the act construed as a mortgage, you  
have yr whole debt paid as a debt agst the  
property agst every thing else in the suc<sup>rs</sup>.  
See our first letter written to you last year.

You ask for us to demand your half of the proceeds of sale of the movables now in hands of the administrator, proceeds of the success sale. We shall decline to do this, because as the movables are part of the property conveyed, if we accept the price, we shall treat the act as a sale and may be estopped by our own act of receipt. On the contrary, we propose to oppose the offer, on the account of administration, to return the half of the price of the movables to us. We are compelled to be consistent throughout & treat the



James Henry  
Letter Aug 20  
April 20 1869



Natchitoches March 26. 1869.

H. Kendall Carter Esq.,  
New Orleans.

Dear Sir,  
We collected the acct  
supplies furnished by you to F. E. Bartlett \$46.70  
and we account for same thus.

Paid George W. Kearney for copy acct of acct from  
Bartlett to you 18 July 1868 \$3.00

Retained to pay copy of account of adminis-  
tration for Mr. D. D. Bryan per his request 3 3.50

Fee for collecting the \$46.70 5.00

\$11.50

Balance due you paid by draft herewith. 35.20

\$46.70

Please find enclosed our draft on Benigny & Co. La-  
fon for (at sight)

35.00

notes enclosed for

20

Balance due to you.

\$35.20

The acct contains the stipulation "It is also agreed  
that the crops made by said Bartlett shall be  
consigned to said Carter, as factor and com-  
mission merchant, provided he makes advances  
to produce the same, but the proceeds of the crops  
shall be under the control and subject to the order  
of said Bartlett for all such sums as may  
expire the said advances and such supplies shall  
not be applied to the payment of the notes."

The question arises, if part of your



Pearson & Gray

Native 26 March 1884

Am 11 April 1884



for 1868  
act of \$46,70 was for plantation supplies, was  
Mr Bartlett obliged to consign his whole crop  
to you, in consideration of this small advance?  
The act does not limit the quantity or amount of sup-  
plies to give the right and it may be argued  
that \$20 worth of supplies conferred the obli-  
gation to consign as well as \$200 worth would  
have done. This obligation will be resisted by  
Bartlett's Atty and if you insist on it will form  
the subject of an opposition to the act of ad-  
ministration. You might consult Messrs Beverly  
& Bryon your Atty on this subject & instruct  
us if we shall claim the damages resulting from  
the non consignment of the crops of 1868.

Very likely a contestation  
will arise about the character of the act.  
whether it is a sale or mortgage. The Atty of  
the succession express doubts of the character.  
I may conclude to regard it as a sale,  
which we must resist by all means as  
your interests are to regard it as a mortgage.

Please reply

Yours  
Pierpont & Levy  
Atty

The Atty of Bartlett's suc<sup>n</sup> have not yet filed their  
tableau of adm.







Copy of Second Note

\$2669 44/100

New Orleans, February 18 1868

Three years after date I promise to pay to The Receiver  
sum of Two thousand Six hundred & Sixty nine  $2\frac{1}{100}$   
dollars & cents, with Interest at the rate of 8 p. cent per annum  
from maturity until paid, This note is not negotiable, and is subject  
to all the conditions contained in the act, with which it is identified, and  
is subject to all the stipulations contained therein  
(Signed) J. G. Barlett

to be paid on  
Demand by the  
Receiver of the  
City of New Orleans  
New Orleans, Feb 18 1868



Copy

By 26 Dollars

With due 18th 1871

\$26.69 44



copy of 2nd note

\$2649 40/100

New Orleans, February 18 1868

Two years after date I promised to pay to  
H. Rindall (agent), the sum Two Thousand Six hundred &  
no cents, Dollars, Value received, with eight per cent  
per annum interest from maturity until paid, this note is  
not negotiable, and is subject to all the Equities contained in  
the act by which it is identified and is subject to and is  
governed by the stipulations contained in said act

(signed) J. E. Bartlett

Paraphrase  
of  
the  
act  
of  
Feb  
18  
1868  
relating  
to  
the  
negotiability  
of  
notes  
payable  
to  
order  
of  
the  
agent  
of  
the  
New  
Orleans  
and  
Gulf  
Coast  
Railroad  
Company



Copy

41 G B Arcata

note due 18 Feb 1870

\$2669 40

\_\_\_\_\_







Copy

Dr B Waller

Nov 20<sup>th</sup> 1849

\$2049.43



J. G. Bartlett

3 notes due on 1.22.23 years

due 18 July 1869 \$2669, 43

due 18 July 1870 2669 43

due 18 July 1871 2669 43

\$8,008, 30

Secured by Deed executed

18 February 1868

Copies of 3 notes

J. G. Bartlett, the

originals sent

to Person & Lory by

directions per return

B. L. Hooper, Care of

Rupert Co. Grand Euro

28<sup>th</sup> July 1869



