

Appointments to officers.

All that the people can be supposed to make or do with any other body
should be made by them - because the sovereignty is their's and
only they have the power it can be better exercised by superior,
and because there is less danger of corruption.

To the execution or breach of the great execution of the laws
the people have the right to have the right of the sub. directly in vent.
they will always obey what made by the laws they receive. The
app. from
It is equally the right of the people as large that you must be by some
minister and the lower comparatively as to on all -
on the execution and int. of the people as to on all -
in the making proper app. as on the people from their own
make but for the most part to be made by the executive.

In case of a war? as a matter for the benefit of the people at large
as the great 2. of the Government depends on a great measure on
the arms & depends on the knowledge and virtue of those who
administer it.

The app. to officers in every important part of the Government
and that much should be admitted as best is most likely to make the
best choice.

A choice in this a more likely to be executed with zeal and fidelity,
when the person who gives the order is in the whole interest
and when the person who executes it has more than the merit to be
owed to him for his conduct in the business.

The Legislature in making the choice of part of the Government of the
app. to officers as a general rule, adds to what they will
hope to be done, they want to see what others the other persons
will do in their behalf, and in turn enable them to do
all authority in their own hands.

Nothing has so much to prevent in the case and out of in the
legislature as a sense of the duty of work that takes place there.
When they appoint, determine on the officer and fix salary low,
in the case in proper conduct.

They may create more officers, fix high salaries,
but they expect to get them themselves,
and if they do not the app. they will make none but what
will be necessary and give no higher salary than is proper.

It is not a right to expect that both should be observed
in corruption or a man can be kept from this more than all
other.

and when one begins with spirit as to the institution.
They have a right to impeach too for misconduct - if they
have power to appoint -

the right instead of being in a power to put in favour of one and not
be looked on a power to put in favour of one and not with others.

One man may be in favour of one and another 50
perhaps to exclude him another is improper choice because of his
sole responsibility which does not sufficiently exist in 50.

in case of disengagement want to get many app. to
but so that with an interest in the case in the case
of the business.

The appointments of the judiciary by the executive, directly in
some matters. the objection of persons, and the checks arising
from the independence of each.

but the danger is left from the firmness of the law by which they
hold them officers.

because peculiar qualifications being necessary for a judge a
proper choice will more probably be made by one man than
than 50.

because in such large numbers from the app. by the executive
than the Legislature
and because there is a natural alliance of interest between the
executive and judiciary, in keeping the Legislature in
proper bounds.

A strong presumption arises that the responsibility of those who
appoint for the firmness and competency of the persons on whom
they bestow their choice and the interest they have in the matter
held are more for a more just consideration of affairs with
others or sufficient to make the best possible choice
of the persons to be able to be impeached for the improper exer-
cise of the power as well as any other - the Legislature act.
there is a sole responsibility in them - more in the Legislature
from their numbers.

The persons to whom important measures are committed, the ex-
ecutive of the laws is more likely to be considered as the
agent of the people or dispenser of the law of major trials, and on the
account they ought to discharge their duty from this appearance
and ought to be subject to the superior influence.

One man of discernment better qualified to analyze our situation
The peculiar qualities adapted to the particular office than a
body of men of equal, or perhaps even of superior education,
The oath and responsibility of one, with the public the
sense of duty and respect to reputation.
as he will have power, the sense of friends to serve, he will have
been an emotion, and better than
a man made by a legislature - it is either a victory of one party,
or a compromise between two.
both are less chance for a proper choice. as an example of
that the source being rarely allowed to be either.
The legislative body that a numerous is given to by the
its members, say to
each member will have the power to an election to provide for
the duty of natural gratification which a scandalous
the duty of vote and consequence for the
the public attachment of one man may be easily to be satisfied
but to satisfy the public attachment of him, would occa-
sion a monopoly of all the municipal employments of the
government, in a few families, and need to be a
unintentionally.

Exp^t and present opinion in the American favor of the plan
as first at the const^o. under the app^o on the level
culty by all the next const^o. can them by the executive
and also the Federal government.

In whom ought the constitution of a free country, to vest the power of appointing appointments to office?

As it is a self-evident proposition that no country can be happy but its constitution be such it may not be the government of that country is duly administered: it is a matter of the greatest importance for a member of the convention to ascertain in whom the constitution ought vest the power of appointing those officers who are to administer that government.

It is to be observed on those who are appointed to public offices are the great objects which ought to be sought after by those who are to make those appointments: and that mode ought to be adopted by the constitution which will be most likely to produce officers possessing those qualities: and which will be clear of those fundamental evils which ought to be provided against in every constitution.

Then appointments must be made, either

1. by the people themselves; or
2. by the legislature; or
3. by the Governor, under such restrictions as may be directed by the constitution.

As the government was instituted for the benefit of the people at large; as their happiness or misery is essentially involved in the conduct of those who are to administer that government; as the people will always act to the best of their judgment in making the appointments which they may serve to themselves; and as there is always a strong bias that those to whom that power is delegated may be influenced by corrupt motives in the exercise of it: the people ought in all cases in which they can make the appointments, without too much inconvenience to themselves and without much greater advantage to the public interest, as their appointments could be made by any other mode, to make the appointments themselves and not trust them to be made by any other persons, whatever be their mode, they will then escape the danger of their being made by others from corrupt motives. But when the people cannot from their scattered situation make the appointments without too great a loss of time or when they cannot make them with any great probability of promoting the public interest, as if they had been made by some of their representatives: the people ought not to be so far from their power of making their appointments; because on those cases they would exercise greater wisdom than they would sustain by delegating the power to others. However by the force of the wisdom of the convention to separate those cases in which the appointments may be made with advantage to the common weal at large, I will inquire whether when that cannot be done, the power of making the appointments ought to be lodged by the constitution with the legislature or the executive branch of the government. We ought during the discussion of this subject, to recollect that those who come from that branch, as all and equally, the servants of the people; do not

them powers from them: and that in the allotment of
the powers which are to be given by the constitution to
either of those branches, that they should be given to
or withheld from either of them, as reason and equity
rather shall direct, with to that to the or benefit of
the people at large; without paying any regard to
the addition or diminution which will be by these
means made in the power and consequence of those
individuals, who will compare either the one
branch or the other.
On the other power to be lodged in the Legislature.