

If we may judge from their conduct they  
seem so far from wishing to avoid war,  
that they have rather chosen to  
hasten and bring it on:

All this was comprehended and early  
was made known to the public, during the  
negotiations:  
a part of these negotiations was published  
during its progress:

The most violent objection was thrown out  
in both Houses of Lords for the first of Sept.  
against the 2. articles and the government:  
The French to avoid in the first of 2. articles  
was who did not appear at the most  
indecent manner; they barely add  
them liberty of speech:

The 1. article as the subject June 27.  
of a war which was <sup>made of</sup>  
in order to force out of us to <sup>make of</sup>  
the most war in any year before. He  
has heard of the war itself:

The 2. article was thus recognized in  
March, and also to the reason  
-er of the country was abundant  
suff. for the purpose of war:

The approval in the war was  
of a description which the 2. articles to  
be the best and most <sup>mark</sup> of mankind:

An answer could not be given to the  
2. people and their government  
such an answer never before offered by a  
2. of magnitude of our nation, respect  
another nation:

He declared that he had never seen  
another answer to the war, with  
appearance on:

The chief that he had never seen any  
more or answer to the for peace:  
Our envoys at the court, to act  
jointly and surely, not to act unless  
all 3 were negotiated with:

And the 2. of 2. articles with whom and  
chief of horror <sup>repeal</sup>  
a resolution calling upon the 2. to in  
stand by any order app. by the bill,  
to make peace if it did not upon the  
terms presented by the 2. our instructions  
and

They call this a defensive war -  
entirely man. offensive - as has a. heard  
the whole occur for 2. vessels -

They say that measures will prevent  
a war -  
to be provided for war, many some towns  
to want it -  
but actually organizing it, in a new  
way to avoid it.

War is not enough for them - it would be  
war of extermination we have court  
is their their beyond or their billion citizens  
for that reason as they profess such a  
war on attack to -  
if for the fault of the ~~U~~ - they have a right  
to doom all ~~U~~ - even a woman and child  
to death -

is it right also to do it as the <sup>other</sup> their fellow  
citizens.

ought the N. country to be willing to join  
in a war to make an effort all through to  
protect the commerce of the ~~U~~ States:  
The same party in Congress <sup>who are opposed to</sup> the sell our  
own commerce to Spain:

which is in that, and as has a copy of  
Spain's copy of that commerce letter:  
They let us they do not go to war but that act  
now we have got our commerce -

They say give it up - and  
spend your last shilling and last blood  
to protect our commerce.

Before we agree to this war, let us consider  
the consequences of it to us:

Loss of commerce: each ship in of all cash -  
and the means of getting more -

raise the price of every thing we buy - &  
depress us of all market for what we have  
to sell -

unproductive rotting on our hands -

immigration stopping -

immigration to at a dollar -  
land not commerce and a shilling - and  
what are now done by the collectors  
to pay our part of the cost of the war:

Let the man who has any organs for them  
- sell, our family, or our country, considered

them their <sup>own</sup> shilling or more a billion of our nature  
and then their <sup>own</sup> shilling or more a billion of our nature  
acted surely or properly, when they  
did it to exterminate this war.

Our envoys <sup>admitted</sup> have an answer in  
a <sup>short</sup> after <sup>of</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>conduct</sup> of our  
govt to <sup>the</sup> <sup>very</sup> <sup>declare</sup>  
"that no one of them was authorized to take upon  
himself a negotiation even by the  
lines of their powers as in str to the  
whole" and  
"that their own no two of them, and each  
propose to withdraw themselves from the  
last comm<sup>s</sup> to them by their govts as while  
their remained a part of per for m with  
The comm<sup>s</sup> was laid before C-5 18<sup>th</sup> June.

On the 3<sup>rd</sup> April the 2<sup>nd</sup> M<sup>rs</sup> wrote a letter to  
M<sup>r</sup> Perry <sup>that</sup> upon a supposition that  
Misses P and M. saw or conseq of the intimate  
cont<sup>s</sup> in his last letter and the of stake as  
their own op in had int to the desire  
reconciliation through the lines of the re c;  
he pointed out a part<sup>l</sup> day, to resume  
their reciprocal comm<sup>s</sup>, upon the int<sup>s</sup> of the  
2<sup>nd</sup> Re. and the M. S. "that he did not con-  
sider them self as being authorized to treat  
separately; therefore that he could only conform infor-  
mally, and as a cred on any subject  
out of their miss on any comm<sup>s</sup> to the  
govt of the M. S. the result of such confers;  
the being in his indiv capacity, was an  
authorized to give them an official stamp."  
M<sup>r</sup> Perry re and to of the 2 run on  
this;  
and the P<sup>r</sup> laid before C-5 the inform<sup>n</sup>  
June 2<sup>nd</sup> of 9<sup>th</sup> and also 10<sup>th</sup> P<sup>r</sup>  
a private letter from M<sup>r</sup> Perry in a 2<sup>nd</sup> sc sc  
the object of M<sup>r</sup> Talley was you will  
purpose was to resume our reciprocal  
comm<sup>s</sup>, and again to enter in my ans on  
of a loan. I thought it best in my answer  
not merely to object to them but to very to  
measure that ed day attend to draw  
one into a negotiation."

and this all the inf as long as need on  
this subject.  
From the above facts  
the true state of the business appears to  
be very very  
the 2<sup>nd</sup> to of first ref to see any thing to  
say to the with previous date the on  
they are agreed to see the 3 envoy s with the  
they are agreed to see the 3 envoy s with the  
or other as a part of the negotiation in your name  
they off to see the 2 at the same time but that  
of the are rejected by the 2 as well as by  
the other two; and

transformation 2. Govt  
they still exist in force, the decision rendered  
as our more ~~direct~~ <sup>direct</sup> will be our daily  
concerned - <sup>but they will not think of any</sup> invasion of our country.

3. This situation then our 3 lines of  
conduct, <sup>and they ought to have sold it;</sup>  
to Congress: either

- 1. to comply with the demands of the  
2 - or
- 2. to refuse such a compliance taking  
the necessary steps to defend the country  
of attacks, and suspend our foreign  
commerce; or
- 3. to commence a war with 2 - e:

As to the first there can be no hesitation;  
the payment of money by compulsion is  
war. But an abasement of our independ:  
we must have either a last peace  
but a peace brought on future demands  
of a similar nature -  
this demand itself ought to have been  
refused -

but the right of it was suff. to maintain  
our independ. with a war  
it was the comp. with such a demand  
as a war - proved us despite of its  
being made -  
if after that we refuse to comply with it  
being a just price,  
a just price must be paid, then they  
must come and take it, <sup>with it</sup>  
it is a just price that we must pay. <sup>our independ. was</sup>  
but a better proof of our independ. than  
to refuse to comply with it.

Then a war - aff. our independ. suff. by  
the loss of a war - brought on us as  
it will be only the proof of ~~our~~  
our freedom ~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~other~~ <sup>other</sup> ~~nations~~ <sup>nations</sup> w. be  
then loss of a war - being only the proof of the  
part. and not a war - exc. a certain sum  
that sum as a war - being 1/10 of our  
yearly war expenses;

Then a war - aff. our independ. with but it  
as a war - being a loss of a war -  
therefore ought to have been submitted to,  
but Congress must take this line  
of conduct, but a demand  
3. and commenced a war with 2 - e:  
by

I will show that the war is not a concern of the  
people, but only of the few who are in power.





must then remove as we be people  
then there as. I don't expect our discharge  
of it -

But all we be silent we let our rulers have  
they against the laws of our of all our  
rights -  
and then slanders falsely with our words  
crossed we let as an. bound with their  
rather than scholars what is already  
as to the whole world, that we discharge  
of their necessities.

For now, no person can be your superior  
people to speak their words to their  
Councils, of their conduct - <sup>in our country</sup>  
and the sooner it is done, the better they die  
app. that conduct, the better they die  
to do us for to be app. to let them know  
- boys to obey - if we are bound doubly so  
if they are bound to us - I would  
but in such a manner - <sup>to do</sup> - <sup>to do</sup>  
Hamilton -

From your debt my soul's well being made by  
words of any kind -  
the 3 words my words are well. I don't know  
that they ever bring on me the next of the  
the obloquy of their own. I don't know  
of them - I don't know

but could integrity - a corner of the world of  
what I need state - and my words  
attach to liberty and my country, will  
be an my up act the whole of them;  
I should not like the danger to be app. I  
lawful for me  
I would not state my friends freedom and  
my property on the property of my country  
and bill of necessity lay down my life and  
proof of their society. <sup>as soon as you are in a  
said not after that you should be a  
spectator of the top of my letter by  
I am not willing to leave behind me 71. Child  
in a state of slavery; and  
if I am deemed to be a slave, it is not for  
on the right of my country; that I did  
voluntarily become so:</sup>

that in my revolt - you will die for you  
But I be sure and advise you to not  
if you do not let my country follow it  
do to live in my right and mine. I don't know  
I should not say being the admirer of your  
act - I am very sorry that I should be  
born on the right of my country to let in my  
country as to in the day of my death

What do you say to this? I don't know  
the whole of the paper is a  
the whole of the paper is a  
the whole of the paper is a

Protest or - must of it. I don't know

such a love of conduct as will please  
the masses to liberate and diff. al our obj.  
a proper love of conduct with us. Our  
success and disapproval them —  
an im proper conduct with power over  
success and gratify them:

Do you judge that great — they are found  
not by procedure and direct  
by the conduct of some of their party here  
they are — labor every step to preserve the  
people to some im proper act  
and appears to be a — our to be a people to be  
and to be made operational by being bound  
out  
let the moderation of our conduct still  
disapproval their views and  
at special contempt be the only Jewish  
rank that is inflicted on them.

An im proper love of conduct on our part  
now, we do more injury to the cause of  
liberty in our hands than Harper, Otis  
Allen, Silliman, and Tracy, and  
yet be able to effect:

and we in a moment wonder what is all  
the year long that have been made the part  
of liberty, by G. A. G. A. and the other  
patriotic societies:

You should let us of our part do a deal  
in proper and —  
we shall then be free from blame deserved  
concern, let the work be, what it may.

06/2 -

Do not let us follow such a love of conduct  
as will prove by the work that we do, a  
spurious people —  
if our spirit is such as necessarily to cause a  
love of sympathy amongst us —

not only fault  
but of that which we have adopted the means  
to our cause but denounce of that  
but the measures in common with us.

on a 4 track —  
they will satisfy any inquiry  
but all the we differ in opinion as to the pro  
prietty of the measures pursued  
but when we do shall become a help  
we will reach an our men in the discipline  
of our cause try  
of our election in to the prop of our being  
belong in good now —  
when will it cease to be so:

3/2 - reflect on the danger of? how a  
taken place in our measures and of it  
as shall be desired? To be done to change  
the present state of things into an absolute  
good -

War has been done, to bring us from the state of  
republican to that of a monarchy in 1789. <sup>state of 1789</sup>  
The present state of things: <sup>state of 1789</sup> <sup>part</sup>  
of this is so - <sup>to get - only for measure</sup>  
one of them is also both a war and a peace  
wishes in any sense -  
to go the remaining distance - in  
our liberties must be in the most imminent  
danger.

I feel greater concern now and for  
my liberty -  
than in summer of 1789. when <sup>my</sup> a war was  
planned - slaves were off - plenty of waste  
- than my prob. suff. as the consequence of a war  
undertaken for the sake of my lib. as well of  
my country; and  
I saw that that war must be ultimately  
more I believe - that the war was a  
kind of <sup>my</sup> <sup>prob.</sup> <sup>suff.</sup> <sup>as</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>consequence</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>a</sup> <sup>war</sup>  
which <sup>is</sup> <sup>prob.</sup> <sup>that</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>war</sup> <sup>was</sup> <sup>a</sup> <sup>kind</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>my</sup> <sup>prob.</sup> <sup>suff.</sup> <sup>as</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>consequence</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>a</sup> <sup>war</sup>  
which <sup>is</sup> <sup>prob.</sup> <sup>that</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>war</sup> <sup>was</sup> <sup>a</sup> <sup>kind</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>my</sup> <sup>prob.</sup> <sup>suff.</sup> <sup>as</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>consequence</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>a</sup> <sup>war</sup>  
and that it is only a pretext for a total  
meas. <sup>as</sup> <sup>must</sup> <sup>end</sup> <sup>in</sup> <sup>our</sup> <sup>ruin</sup> <sup>and</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>loss</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>our</sup> <sup>liberties</sup>.

But it is said that our lib. can be no danger to our  
liberties for the act of 1789. as they are  
our rights and must have the same effect with  
us, they cannot injure us with <sup>in</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>same</sup> <sup>way</sup> <sup>as</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>others</sup>  
this ought rather to be a proof for your the that we  
must be proved:

1. that they have no other design for ours, &
2. that they are honest:

for if they have a separate interest, or  
are otherwise, they can afford us no such help  
at all.

Suppose 10. men have a joint <sup>part</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>some</sup> <sup>sum</sup>  
of money, as it is so carefully cons. that  
it cannot be divided: we suppose one of them shall  
betray the others:  
And the whole loss being clearly a common int.  
and if they are honest men, no other int.  
any one of them to make this division:  
but suppose also that one of them was a  
rogue  
and that a thief was to offer him a sum

money or an annuity for life, equal  
to half the treasury, as has been honestly  
lent to only 1/10th of it:

which will be the security that the other 9  
will have on their dishonest partners.

As I gain by and a ready cash a supply of  
of information both worth to give in real  
confidence safely on our reports  
How far we judge whether they do really  
exist:

The heart of man is unsearched to man,  
and we can only judge of his honesty by his  
his actions:

— if we judge our reports by their rules  
we must think that a majority of them  
may either a local or a partial truth;  
differs from ours;  
— or that we should honestly to pursue our  
interest.

3<sup>d</sup> now we are to enquire  
what form of conduct we ought to observe  
in our present state:

The free people are everywhere in a more  
dangerous or evil state  
or had more depend on their conduct:

For upon that conduct, not only our own  
liberty depends  
but probably that of all A-<sup>a</sup> also.

Liberty seems at this time to be nearly  
exp<sup>d</sup> in E. A-<sup>a</sup>  
It has been brought to this way by us  
there —

by the imp<sup>t</sup> of the government —

of the officers of government —

of office holders, who the courts of both —

by the money with fall on our spoils —

by the more <sup>or</sup> with directed by B. subjects —

by the old topics —

and by any who can be employed or directed

by any of the various classes of men:

There are things that have no effect  
as are what all A-<sup>a</sup> were a few years  
ago: still think and  
may still act like free men —

5<sup>th</sup> a free man —

Liberty will be valued in A-<sup>a</sup> —  
The love of it will become a reproach —  
There will not be any asylum or refuge  
place in it — a sign of oppression —  
but if we make a stand  
it will encourage our friends to the  
E. who still think that with us:

Unless then the people themselves become corrupt, and can be made use of as tools to enslave their country -

Then unconst. laws will become waste and empty paper and they will either repeal them or by some assumption to enforce them tacitly acknowledge that they are of no force. They then unconst. act in a legal effect with tumult, and by the record of law.

You therefore make it our duty to give it our own opinion that these laws are unconst. and therefore void.

But as all unconst. laws are void, and may be opposed in a const. manner - so all const. laws are valid, and ought to be obeyed -

But we are bound to practice as well by every principle of duty as by our oath as by every principle of policy.

In cases where a court has a right to act in the monarchy or the to submit - for the bad policy of an act will never justify an opposition to it -

Altho the unconst. constitutionality of it may.

When an act of arm has a right to be governed by const. laws, on ly to be considered as must acknowledge it to be our duty, and profess our intention to obey all laws that are const. no council can prove a real regard to the const.

But we make the policy of const. laws as law - a right to express our dissent to remove them - and by denouncing them repeal - if not domestic it will be too late. The saying such const. or made the more likely it is to have effect - and to prevent an improper opp. to such laws.

As lovers of liberty - we ought to conduct our selves strictly by the rules of propriety but if we go beyond them we shall furnish the enemies of liberty with a pretext, as on my part. I believe in the destruction of liberty:

As national men, we ought not to pursue

The flame of liberty will still be kept alive  
in A-a  
and when convicted? Shall open the eyes of our  
countrymen.

It will blaze out with redoubled vigor,  
and we shall be considered as the preservers  
of it.

and in the mean time our country will  
be filled with friends of Liberty and  
Republics  
who will bring with them their virtues  
and their wealth.

But to effect this glorious purpose,  
our conduct must be wise, proper,  
and consistent.

1. as to us

1. go as far as propriety requires and will justify:

2. and we must go no further.

4. we ought to speak out and go as far as  
propriety will justify

see. If the members of those who have applied  
it will be surprised that we do it also, unless  
we declare the contrary:

Those who think with us, in other parts of  
A-a will be encouraged to persevere in their  
sentiments by knowing that the state stands  
with them.

whether in their law measures proceed from  
wisdom or folly

a proper bold language with force should  
be used on the conduct of these measures:

as there will be another suspicion of Co-s and  
as their worst measures have been carried  
by majorities of only 4, 2, or 3.

our silence might encourage their majority  
and discourage the minority who seek  
made a noble stand in favor of peace  
and liberty.

and perhaps even do nearly balance in  
and our conduct may not be considered  
enough there.

But there is a still stronger reason why  
we should speak out as the former do  
Their worst and most oppressive acts have  
clearly become unconstitutional.

as such they are void and of no effect:

and they may be declared to be so  
by legal and competent means:

When each contains parts - those paratiters are  
to be implied by the judgment of courts.

The persons accused of these acts  
are to be tried in each of these states  
and each state where the offence is said to have  
been committed.

forbid our trust? am in an with such  
power as not be suff. to enable them to  
assume ab. power;

then such ought more than fly to be obs.  
in the case of this I - at this time -

I have ab. 4 states that he has another Vol.  
in disp. of monarch. and ab. of 2-3-6  
he has also advocated and adv. the same  
ideas in disp. of this and 73 as I have add.;

the party openly in on the south that a king  
is in the case;

It is a fact not denied that some have for  
many in the course of the 18th century  
that many who have been and now are  
in the land are of the same sect.

Some of the papers support the idea  
of a king for a king - who is now  
supp. by the party, and is now consid.  
as one of the heads;

consider the prayer for a king -  
Wants the patriots of 76. New-England and  
supp. the man -

if not, why is it done by the men now in  
office, unless they app. the doctrine?

no claim in the south been to pursue those  
a the public? such extent doct.

They may do with the imp. of the power  
of the public case in itself  
with contempt with the people, and with  
their habits as the man;

Take if you wish to the same effect as the  
man, you are to be first and imp. -

then Boston who publishes consumers  
the P.'s conduct in arr. and to be tried.

Port who publishes the prayer, is at the  
- Gerty, and carried by the whole party.  
These editors are well supp. by a determined  
imagination;

all men who have att. to what has been  
has been the estate of the present govern.  
at. come of the truth of them;

those who have been most on the spot have  
seen and heard 1000 of the things and have  
not app. in front that would have sa-  
tisfied their minds.

What then do a better design exist to  
destroy our republ. governm.?

ask of our members to C. S. I tell  
them to be honest men and if they are I  
am confident they will tell you that in a  
true statement of the business.

How should an loyal address be  
the friends of your party - how can he give them

Then tell you upon the price. That is whether  
can be conf. in, in the time of danger, none  
another, the price of free - more -  
can be for the Monopoly - Mercor: for  
1000 \$ to be a great deal for the price  
of the stock now in possession.

For can they appear, who were over a time  
but they came here a little sooner:

How were whom fathers are so:  
How many of us, when the ancient of us came  
to foreign countries:

Can they all void of virtue in  
it, only to be a gift by trade, A. in an  
estate of wealth:

Great diff: between? depend them of all 2. of the  
of city of -

and judge in them to be off. for the other  
proper time of need. The provided for. P.  
of the law will subject all things to the P.  
power, who may use them for the worst of  
purpose -

It being more proper - to pledge them & to  
to support the gov. by a dear & complete  
with every, domain of gov. -

Am. it may be such a duty to continue you  
may rely upon the protection and hospitality  
of the country as far as depends on men.  
This was a proper way to such a measure  
and it may be so set.

It being better than 1000. \$ if any & how than  
depend. state is understood by both parties:  
It is not only made them depend on the P.  
but they could also - a brother in.

Then both sides in case of a revolt in Europe  
but it is the power of the P. to resist or resist.  
The losing party, as their political views are  
far - or not to his views -

Great and - people - more money -  
The law proceeds for a local disturbance  
holding in the C - states to be a law for it  
to prevent the growth of it, and the country  
and keep the balance of power in their hands.

The const. power over to P.  
to raise an army when he may judge it  
necessary - with him as to members. He  
to be a more money for their support with  
the view of the P. in our eyes? - why -  
The consequence of this law is in one -

This is the most important point to be  
shown -  
not only conf. to the const. - but also the  
exp. of every country when it has been done  
and to that point in republicans go to  
which

- a song has been paid for with an  
office <sup>and some other articles</sup> and some other articles  
has 1000 & have been given for a debtors.

The punch to the meat <sup>is</sup> of cover w<sup>h</sup> sound  
the printer of our B. covers as pro digger  
look of water and sound -  
My end by will not dispute the author of  
what they say ab<sup>t</sup> the liberty of the press -  
see page 44.

at the very time they are made to their ch<sup>r</sup>.  
our eye - an affair, what they do is to  
2. 2. they dare not do - <sup>but they are not</sup>

But we are unwillingly told that the bill  
permits the cl<sup>r</sup>.  
to give in evidence in his defence the truth  
of the matter contained in the publication  
charged as a libel.

There is another machinery to operate:  
all political writings contain not only  
facts - but reasonings and deductions  
drawn from those facts -  
and the object of the article is evidently to  
illustrate by the facts and the deductions  
drawn from the facts and the deductions  
but would care to give only of the truth  
of the facts -

and but the best way can be given of the truth of the  
opinions stated as arising out of those  
facts.

but the libel consists in the reasonings and  
deductions drawn from those facts.

The case of B. must be different  
but you must be found guilty of the  
charge brought against you because you do not  
prove the truth of what he said in case  
of being proved.

that you will be found guilty or acquit,  
according to the polit. system of your  
country -

but the same charge a jury of respectable  
men acquit you - a jury of aristocrats  
condemn you.

A. will be acquit to day - B. condemn to  
morrow for the same publication.

can this be right - or a legal system or law:  
Will it not put an end to all litigation -  
if the verdict condemn or acquit depend  
not on the propriety of the facts of the case  
considered in the abstract -

but in the polit. system of a jury coll<sup>d</sup> in  
a country that is not a political system  
and published by an official printer in the  
of his own country.



But our rep<sup>s</sup> <sup>are</sup> not the only ones - who  
need what they do not practice. Thomas  
Paine says, cry out, by ear to ear, go on boys.  
But I show the <sup>man</sup> <sup>who</sup> <sup>is</sup> <sup>in</sup> <sup>touch</sup> <sup>with</sup>  
some in private:

Two Rev. gentl<sup>men</sup> who self imp<sup>rove</sup> them  
all to common<sup>ness</sup>, & they intend to go to a war  
at all:

but most of them think they do them per-  
vice, when they encourage us to do it.

of the ones who takes up the matter in  
earnest -  
desires to see it for the equal, tho' he may  
never apply it -

but he who advises and promotes war  
with<sup>out</sup> intending to take the full share of  
it, and that in prop<sup>erty</sup> to his own, is great  
for the cause, deserves to be despised.

of the P's am<sup>ong</sup> to the students of D-  
C- who I have already stated in the  
most ex<sup>tra</sup> ordinary manner app<sup>ear</sup> -  
has men might think so - facts might  
publish such a death:

but here a man who is called both quiet  
and good, I think rather than a death can  
be said for only in one way:

unless with explanation, ambition, and  
self imp<sup>rove</sup>ment.  
The thought the war & app<sup>ear</sup> 3,000,000  
to be allowed any thing in depth:

whereas costs the quarter wise as the  
an equitable treaty however procured  
ought not to be satisfied with the agreement  
to a peace war: a <sup>small</sup> <sup>amount</sup> <sup>of</sup> <sup>money</sup> <sup>is</sup> <sup>required</sup>  
did the obligation, or the doctrine of the  
saviour who was sent to establish peace  
on earth, establish suffer the doubt:

was it carried by the force of arms, and  
must be, the better, certainly, as he has  
spoken by common sense, than that of the  
war?

did it proceed from a war to see that  
in our nation reaches him by the  
with the children they have returned, and  
was they have better to be seen:  
or war is from a few, that peace as!  
clip the wings of the power, and  
baffle the ambitious designs:

(3)  
The P in an answer to the slender of  
Lynch in on Colfax says "It is a question  
whether we are equal to treaty made  
under such circumstances of violence, or  
solitary and tyrannical, ought ever to  
be ratified by an independent nation?"

Then could we pause and ask ourselves  
if these reports has the same feeling, that  
they can't the people have:

if they has exp<sup>d</sup> to carry they must be  
and they had such a power:

if they had supposed that their sons would  
die and that they would lose them by the sword  
of the sword:

if they had known that they must lose  
and they had the feeling that they would  
if they had exp<sup>d</sup> that after seeing their  
young men on their march for a war of a  
month:

that they would be obliged to sell other people  
to pay their losses -

they would never have value for their  
land:

But as they know that the war would  
carry a debt sum to the 7 y -

that the number of officers and soldiers  
will be increased by it:

that by their means they and their  
friends are connected as all be  
provided for at the public expense:

that they will not be back to the 7 y  
more than 1000 for 1. They pay taxes:

as they know that out of soldiers they  
will have great numbers - as they know  
who when the war shall calling out for a  
war app<sup>d</sup> B. G.:

as they know all their value for war  
but it will be their part - to meet their cost  
say, then for losses and then of their part

but the people will be brought to  
considered help was how? by it.

It is a melancholy truth, that a greater number  
of those who have heretofore been in the  
war - now for ever by it - for any other war  
than that of those who have been in the  
Republic than will lose the only thing which  
can supply by loans them to their cost the  
a common good -

when they adopt measures by which they  
may have, and the people be the only  
all security is gone.

But then will not they have passed,  
it as unconstitutional when it destroys only  
the freedom of the press -  
as it will have been, if it had done?

both:

for there is no such in the const? for one,  
but there is not for the other,  
they may dispute us of both as  
constly, or they can of one; and  
of our religious liberty as constly  
as of either -

express then your dissent? of the law -  
or prepare yours? to see the time and  
we shall be too,  
when religious liberty - the freedom  
of speech - and of the press -  
shall be no more.

Then bill: not say much to be on  
respect alien press only not a law enemy:  
1. unconstitutional - 2. unjust - 3. impolitic

1. but it is the exercise of a power not given  
to Cong. but reserved to the States:

but it gives a power to do down and be  
susp? not on conviction of an offence, but on  
susp? only:

but it gives this power to the P. and not to  
a court:

but the person convicted to be brought in to  
have not ~~right~~ of trial of any sort:

2. non just:

but it is a violation of the law of nations  
here: state may have legal officer to  
remove him totally with trial on susp?  
only - statute law -

3. impolitic:

but it is contrary to our great political  
object - emigr? - on habeas corpus -

our welfare of no value if it can be taken  
the name of reform - 2 by force - 2 by force

draw down in number of her subjects -

policy as bad to keep out those who will be so  
full of independ - Patriotic of 16. how they

then speak: how much words - then law would then those

express on the cause of emigr? from a country

to another country: 1000 obst? to be shown before a man can go

they will never be encouraged to induce em? to and they  
to go to a country where they are to be sold

depr? on the law to the will of one man.

or example  
supposed that I was to publish 2 or 3  
do with truth and propriety —  
that the P. did by the printing should  
the app<sup>t</sup> and willow of common school  
you were into — and  
the disapprobation of, and doubtless to  
republican govern<sup>t</sup> —  
and as to argue for the P. that he was  
unworthy the confidence of a people living  
was or was attached to a republican  
government.

If I am tried for this publication, I  
know by the articles of the S. W. that  
I shall be tried as to the truth or truth —  
but how shall I prove that the inference that  
I draw from those articles, published  
that the same unworthy thing published  
preferred — was true also:

It seems to be a self evident prop<sup>t</sup> to some  
and also by choice by others:  
and yet it is an opinion as a general  
yet I have a right to entertain  
and to express by my better citizens to  
judge them as putting an improper  
confidence in them: I shall be with an app<sup>t</sup>  
for this opinion by a jury, according to their  
private political beliefs. —

This example shows  
that the freedom of the press must be left  
as the constitution has placed it with the  
power in C-3 to abridge it. or  
that it will be totally destroyed —  
I vote this day with you as to the  
two you wish bought to be the case.

It is not more than half an hour  
passed by the Senate — read it —  
it passed in the Senate by a majority of  
3 to 2. and  
repealed app<sup>t</sup> on the 2. of 2. by a majority  
of 10. and  
it shall never app<sup>t</sup> to its present form only  
by a majority of 4.

When I am and not in error & can  
then I am —  
My thought is best to do the business  
by direct — the other might  
let them go down now — the other might  
by a delay after a delay of the next session  
to an act to give liberties by the breach  
of any one of these men — or of the  
whole of them.

When I am tried for this publication, I shall be tried as to the truth or truth — but how shall I prove that the inference that I draw from those articles, published that the same unworthy thing published preferred — was true also: It seems to be a self evident prop<sup>t</sup> to some and also by choice by others: and yet it is an opinion as a general yet I have a right to entertain and to express by my better citizens to judge them as putting an improper confidence in them: I shall be with an app<sup>t</sup> for this opinion by a jury, according to their private political beliefs. — This example shows that the freedom of the press must be left as the constitution has placed it with the power in C-3 to abridge it. or that it will be totally destroyed — I vote this day with you as to the two you wish bought to be the case. It is not more than half an hour passed by the Senate — read it — it passed in the Senate by a majority of 3 to 2. and repealed app<sup>t</sup> on the 2. of 2. by a majority of 10. and it shall never app<sup>t</sup> to its present form only by a majority of 4. When I am and not in error & can then I am — My thought is best to do the business by direct — the other might let them go down now — the other might by a delay after a delay of the next session to an act to give liberties by the breach of any one of these men — or of the whole of them.

Reason common sense to support the idea  
A man B. has all the goods from S. & L. and  
to sell his land in Woodford and Scott,  
but the law <sup>now</sup> shall not sell his land  
in Fayette —

If B. don't sell land in 2-2 is it not void —  
then the case with the court? — read it.

then their duty may be tried out by court? —  
& they seem to observe that law —  
but their viol. of the 5<sup>th</sup> flagrant that they  
do not have proceeded in error in judgment,  
but must have been intentional —

Section bill — read it —  
it tries to prevent the people from assembling  
to demand a redress of grievances —  
it entirely destroys liberty of the press —  
Mr. T. Con. says — read it.

Here the power to legislate on this subject  
is taken from them altogether.  
it don't say they shall not buy or sell  
a point — they shall not touch it at all  
then not the great? whether some royal power  
or not be proper — no power to  
make any —

They claim the only duty as. Rev. for  
to possess liberty —  
if they have right, how many orders a year  
of 25. for  
but they claim prop. not to exp. but an estate  
then had  
the duty in both cases in the great prop.?  
no law:

No man can doubt that this law does abridge  
the freedom of the press —  
it makes an alteration — it does not  
enlarge it — it must therefore abridge it.

A section bill <sup>of this sort</sup> is necessary —  
but when the object is to subject the man  
to the law — <sup>then</sup> the case here —  
which is made an officer and punishes by  
the law —  
the exposing to public view improper con-  
duct and vices of the P. and C. S. shall  
be punished? the spirit of the bill  
is to be a law for the spirit of the people —  
ask us to be punished for funding families  
the people — they must be  
modest & fearful. next, now they seek to  
be punished for vices and ask them made  
for the law or want them on of their conduct.  
though and vices pub. spirit is so far from  
fear, will court a public view of  
of their conduct —

Let me doubt that - but cannot do it  
many, no man can longer doubt  
that the so called equitable treaty of 1793, ought to be  
ratified, more could have, but a war,  
that such a treaty should be made.

My friends to these measures say,  
that war is necessary to secure our liberty  
and independence -  
I cannot justify the alien & sedition bills -  
but they are necessary for our liberty -  
acc'd to their doctrine, we must up the corner  
of a war into for the sake of liberty, even  
if that war is very liberty -  
and after that to look at expense, blood and  
treasure, also for proscribing it -  
state in cond. 4.

The war has been already into a large army,  
and we have been here for 3 years for the  
the common of the year, very  
always baffled before, they now successfully  
we now have on the estate 14,300 men,  
the power to raise 10,000 more, and  
and it is not a small number to be employed,  
our space and reflect on what a loss has  
been already, and must be produced by such a war -  
ruin - loss of liberty.

Small area offensive and defensive, with 13.  
- especially that, now so to complete the system -  
his is then already prepared -

The war is a war, we understand the subject, at least  
so far as to be, and to be by him,  
when into to enter, and supply by 4 hours -  
with profits of what she will do  
for conduct before the war -  
during that war - and  
since the peace - and

of country partly - not a drop of blood  
is still here, but she the cause of it -  
many of us after peace were to very mis-  
comparable - 50,000 and then 13. Supply  
of money and provisions. A. A.

3. Their violations of the constitution.  
Their powers are not as they contain  
exp. exceptions as to part of things -  
if they had not been elected, and they had no  
power,  
when elected under that const. it is one thing, but  
as given by the const. it is another, and  
and not legally ex. any, which was forbidden by  
the const. -

None of these things are truly wished for  
It follows that the reports 2 are acted  
imp. in comm. of the war - and  
It is wrong whether the court proceeds for  
rich or folly.

for the result the same in either case to the  
people - war - misery - and ruin.  
A statement of facts will enable us to elect  
whether they are comm. of the war imp.  
and wrong.

One of 2 has in consequence of real or pretend  
injury arising for the B. G. and other acts  
of our gov.  
Suzerainship of our trade & establish  
our diff. pretense;

Our gov. sent 2 envoys - 2 - with 2 - to see them  
with 2 - with 2 - satisfaction:  
The other sent 3 envoys - jointly & severally  
emp. to treat the 2 - with 2 - y. & 2 - not act. or rec.  
them as public ministers - but opened  
an inact. comm. with them -  
and insisted that previous to their entering  
a treaty - that the Comm. be st. agree  
to loan them, or pay them a sum of money  
as a satisfaction for the injury they did.  
They had 2 - for us: Warren

On the 3<sup>d</sup> of April the P. laid before C. -  
the letter & for our envoy & giving the  
statement of all the circumstances of the 3<sup>d</sup> Envoy.

Between the 8<sup>th</sup> of Jan'y and the 9<sup>th</sup> March  
diff. comm. & pass. betw. the 2. M. &  
and our envoys;  
in a 2 - the still in sight of money  
but upon being asked, if a loan, as in the  
Declaration, of the government in regard  
no reply.

The envoys were laid before Cong. 5<sup>th</sup> June.  
On the 18<sup>th</sup> March the 2 - M. & added a  
letter to our 3 envoys, in a 2  
after had stated the causes of complaint & the  
2 - had aff. to

in declaration that the charges as to our envoys  
known to A. had been carried by those parties  
and that he was ready to discuss in that  
most friendly manner, the abuses which had  
sprung from the privilege of a double passport.  
The government in consequence of our complaint  
the declaration that the open comm. and complaint  
of our envoys was too well known to hope  
for them disposition sincerely conciliatory  
"but that the being was dangerous to break  
with that one of the three whom our own  
prejudice led to be more int. & proper in the  
course of the explanation, more of the receipt  
conflict, which was indispensable".

