

Le 1

The University of Chicago

Office of the Vice-President and Business Manager

ROOM 1838, 230 S. CLARK ST.
TELEPHONE DEARBORN 9312

March Twelve
1 9 2 5

My dear Dr. Burton:

I am sending herewith a copy of the opinion of Mr. Walter F. Dodd respecting the limitations in the deed of the American Baptist Educational Society. You will recall that Mr. Gates raised some questions about the validity of these limitations, and it was thought desirable to have the benefit of the advice of counsel.

After you have reviewed Mr. Dodd's opinion, I should be glad if you would send to me any suggestions which may occur to you. I propose to bring the matter to the attention of the Board at the April meeting.

Yours very truly,

Business Manager

By *G. O. Fairweather*
G. O. Fairweather.

Dr. Ernest D. Burton,
The University of Chicago.

GOF:CB

ENCL

The University of Chicago

Office of the Vice-President and Business Manager

ROOM 1001, 505 S. CLARK ST.
TELEPHONE DEPARTMENT 0112

March Twelve

My dear Dr. Burton:

I am sending herewith a copy of the opinion of Mr. Walter F. Dodd respecting the limitations in the field of the American Baptist Educational Society. You will recall that Mr. Gales raised some questions about the validity of these limitations, and it was thought desirable to have the benefit of the advice of counsel.

After you have reviewed Mr. Dodd's opinion, I should be glad if you would send to me any suggestions which may occur to you. I propose to bring the matter to the attention of the Board at the April meeting.

Yours very truly,

Business Manager

By *[Signature]*
G. O. Fairweather.

Dr. Ernest D. Burton,
The University of Chicago.

GOF:CB

ENC.

secure the waiver of the conditions by a formal conveyance at this time without a great deal of labor, and that even so we should doubtless encounter opposition based on the fact that two years ago we made a request that was granted, and that we are now coming back with another one of a similar character.

In short, the probability of our ever wanting the consent of the Board to the actions on our part that require consent is so small that it seems to me wiser to wait until the situation arises, if it ever does arise, than to meet trouble half way by asking for it now.

I submit this opinion as my impression of the case, but am open to ^{the} conviction of wiser minds that some other course is better.

Very truly yours,

Mr. Trevor Arnett,
230 S. Clark St.,
Chicago, Ill.

EDB:CB

secure the waiver of the conditions by a formal conveyance
at this time without a great deal of labor, and that even
so we should doubtless encounter opposition based on the
fact that two years ago we made a request that was granted,
and that we are now coming back with another one of a simi-
lar character.

In short, the probability of our ever wanting the
consent of the Board to the actions on our part that require
consent is so small that it seems to me wiser to wait until
the situation arises, if it ever does arise, than to meet
trouble half way by asking for it now.

I submit this opinion as my impression of the
the case, but am open to conviction of wiser minds that some
other course is better.

Very truly yours,

Mr. Trevor Arnett,
230 S. Clark St.,
Chicago, Ill.

BDB:CB

March 20, 1925.

My dear Mr. Arnett:

I have yours of March 12th containing the opinion of Mr. Dodd respecting the limitations in the deed of the American Baptist Education Society.

I should not wish my opinion in this matter to weigh very heavily, but my disposition is to say that it would be better to let well enough alone. If I understand Mr. Dodd correctly the situation is this.

1) There is no probability whatever that we shall ever wish to take the actions which would require us to secure the consent of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention.

2) If the University should ever wish to take these steps, it could ask consent at the time when it would wish to take them, and consent secured in this way would be as effective as a waiver of the necessity of consent in advance.

3) While no one can foresee what the attitude of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention might be in such a future hypothetical situation, it is fairly certain that the attitude of the Board would not be unfavorable. Yet it is fairly certain that we could not

March 20, 1925.

My dear Mr. Arnett:

I have yours of March 12th containing the opinion of Mr. Dodd respecting the limitations in the deed of the American Baptist Education Society. I should not wish my opinion in this matter to weigh very heavily, but my disposition is to say that it would be better to let well enough alone. If I understand Mr. Dodd correctly the situation is this.

1) There is no probability whatever that we shall ever wish to take the actions which would require us to secure the consent of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention.

2) If the University should ever wish to take these steps, it could ask consent at the time when it would wish to take them, and consent secured in this way would be as effective as a waiver of the necessity of consent in advance.

3) While no one can foresee what the attitude of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention might be in such a future hypothetical situation, it is fairly certain that the attitude of the Board would not be unfavorable. Yet it is fairly certain that we could not

Do not return

*Read
Inch 19. 1925*

DODD, MATHENY & EDMUNDS
Law Offices
105 W. Monroe Street
C h i c a g o

January 24, 1925.

Mr. Trevor Arnett
Vice President and Business Manager
The University of Chicago
230 South Clark Street
Chicago, Illinois

My dear Mr. Arnett:

In reply to your letter of January 13 I beg to make the following statements:

1. Condition as to use for one hundred years

(a) A deed from Marshall Field and Nannie D. Field dated June 1, 1890, conveyed block 3 and the north half of block 2 in Marshall Field's Addition to the American Baptist Education Society for the consideration of one dollar, "upon the express condition that the same shall for the period of one hundred years from the date hereof be exclusively used for educational purposes, as the site of a college or university, and in the event of a breach of said condition the title to said premises shall revert to said first party or his heirs."

(b) A deed from Marshall Field and Nannie D. Field, dated June 1, 1890, conveyed block 7 and the south half of block 2 in Marshall Field's Addition to the American Baptist Education Society for a consideration of \$132,500. This deed was without condition or limitation.

(c) By virtue of the two deeds of Marshall Field, the American Baptist Education Society acquired title to blocks 2, 3 and 7.

DODD, MATTHEW & EDMUNDS
Law Offices
105 W. Monroe Street
Chicago

January 24, 1925.

Mr. Trevor Arnett
Vice President and Business Manager
The University of Chicago
230 South Clark Street
Chicago, Illinois

My dear Mr. Arnett:

In reply to your letter of January 13 I beg to make the

following statements:

1. Condition as to use for one hundred years

(a) A deed from Marshall Field and Nannie D. Field

dated June 1, 1890, conveyed block 3 and the north half of
block 2 in Marshall Field's Addition to the American Baptist
Education Society for the consideration of one dollar, "upon
the express condition that the same shall for the period of
one hundred years from the date hereof be exclusively used for

educational purposes, as the site of a college or university,
and in the event of a breach of said condition the title to
said premises shall revert to said first party or his heirs."

(b) A deed from Marshall Field and Nannie D. Field,

dated June 1, 1890, conveyed block 7 and the south half of
block 2 in Marshall Field's Addition to the American Baptist
Education Society for a consideration of \$132,500. This deed
was without condition or limitation.

(c) By virtue of the two deeds of Marshall Field, the
American Baptist Education Society acquired title to blocks 2,

3 and 7.

(d) The American Baptist Education Society by deed dated August 24, 1891, conveyed to the University of Chicago blocks 2, 3, and 7 in Marshall Field's Addition, for a consideration of one dollar, subject to the condition among others that "the said premises shall for a period of one hundred years from the date hereof be used exclusively by said second party for educational purposes as the site of a college or university", and with further provision that in the event of the breach of this or other conditions, "the title to the said premises shall revert to said first party or its successor."

(e) The deed of the American Baptist Education Society to the University of Chicago contains for blocks 2, 3 and 7, the same condition as was imposed by the deed from Marshall Field to the Society with respect to block 3 and the north half of block 2. Inasmuch as the Marshall Field deed to the Society contained a reversionary provision with respect to block 3 and the north half of block 2, the condition in the deed from the Society to the University regarding a reversion to the Society in the case of violation of the hundred year condition is, with respect to this portion of the property, of no legal effect. Block 3 and the north half of block 2 came to the American Baptist Education Society and could be transferred by that Society to the University of Chicago only subject to the conditions in the Marshall Field deed. Any reversion of block 3 and the north half of block 2, through violation of the condition would be to the heirs of Marshall Field and not to the American Baptist Education Society or its successor, the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention.

(d) The American Baptist Education Society by deed dated August 24, 1891, conveyed to the University of Chicago blocks 2, 3, and 7 in Marshall Field's Addition, for a consideration of one dollar, subject to the condition among others that "the said premises shall for a period of one hundred years from the date hereof be used exclusively by said second party for educational purposes as the site of a college or university", and with further provision that in the event of the breach of this or other conditions, "the title to the said premises shall revert to said first party or its successor."

(e) The deed of the American Baptist Education Society to the University of Chicago contains for blocks 2, 3 and 7, the same condition as was imposed by the deed from Marshall Field to the Society with respect to block 3 and the north half of block 2. Inasmuch as the Marshall Field deed to the Society contained a reversionary provision with respect to block 3 and the north half of block 2, the condition in the deed from the Society to the University regarding a reversion to the Society in the case of violation of the hundred year condition is, with respect to this portion of the property, of no legal effect. Block 3 and the north half of block 2 came to the American Baptist Education Society and could be transferred by that Society to the University of Chicago only subject to the conditions in the Marshall Field deed. Any reversion of block 3 and the north half of block 2 through violation of the condition would be to the heirs of Marshall Field and not to the American Baptist Education Society or its successor, the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention.

(f) The written statement of Mr. Fred T. Gates to the board of trustees of the University at its first meeting, July 9, 1890, (Goodspeed's History of the University of Chicago, page 476) indicates that Mr. Gates had at that time expressly in mind that, of the two deeds from Mr. Field to the American Baptist Education Society, the one was an unconditional purchase and the other a gift subject to conditions.

(g) The letter from Mr. Charles W. Needham to Dr. T. W. Goodspeed, dated December 26, 1923, shows that those handling the legal transactions for the American Baptist Education Society in deeding the three blocks to the University of Chicago, adopted from the standpoint of the Society the plan of having land donated by and purchased from Mr. Field conveyed to the Society, with a subsequent conveyance of all of this land from the Society to the University subject to conditions which might make the denominational requirements more binding upon the University. Such a result may properly have been regarded as in part accomplished by imposing the one hundred year limitation upon all three blocks, and by uniting this limitation with the denominational requirements in the deed. The resolutions of May 18, 1889, properly did not go into details of the deed, but left much of this to the discretion of counsel who were acting for the Society.

(h) The deed to the University of Chicago by the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention, as the successor of the American Baptist Education Society, executed on the 9th day of October, 1923, repeats and reaffirms the one hundred year condition of the deed of August 24, 1891, from the American Baptist Education Society to the University of Chicago.

(f) The written statement of Mr. Fred T. Gates to the board of trustees of the University at its first meeting, July 9, 1890, (Goodspeed's History of the University of Chicago, page 476) indicates that Mr. Gates had at that time expressly in mind that of the two deeds from Mr. Field to the American Baptist Education Society, the one was an unconditional purchase and the other a gift subject to conditions.

(g) The letter from Mr. Charles W. Needham to Dr. T. W. Goodspeed, dated December 26, 1923, shows that those handling the legal transactions for the American Baptist Education Society in deeding the three blocks to the University of Chicago, adopted from the standpoint of the Society the plan of having land donated by and purchased from Mr. Field conveyed to the Society, with a subsequent conveyance of all of this land from the Society to the University subject to conditions which might make the denominational requirements more binding upon the University. Such a result may properly have been regarded as in part accomplished by imposing the one hundred year limitation upon all three blocks, and by uniting this limitation with the denominational requirements in the deed. The resolutions of May 18, 1889, properly did not go into details of the deed, but left much of this to the discretion of counsel who were acting for the Society.

(h) The deed to the University of Chicago by the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention, as the successor of the American Baptist Education Society, executed on the 9th day of October, 1923, repeats and reaffirms the one hundred year condition of the deed of August 24, 1891, from the American Baptist Education Society to the University of Chicago.

(i) The supreme court of Illinois has clearly decided that conditions as to the use of land, even though perpetual, are valid, and that the reservation of a reversion for the violation of such conditions is proper. Dees v. Cheuvronts, 240 Ill. 486 (1909) is fairly typical of these cases. In this case, a deed was held good which conveyed certain land "to the trustees of schools so long as it shall be used as a school house site, and whenever it shall be discontinued as a school house site, then to revert to the grantors". The court on page 490 said that the deed "created in the grantees a base or determinable fee and the only right left in the grantor was not a vested future estate in fee, but only what it called a naked possibility of reverter which is incapable of alienation or devise, although it descends to his heirs." Similar views will be found in Latham v. I. C. R. R. 253 Ill. 93 (1912), Sherman v. Town of Jefferson, 274 Ill. 294 (1916) and McElvain v. Dorris, 298 Ill. 377 (1921). While conditions subsequent are not favored in the law and will be strictly construed, the conditions of such deeds will be enforced by the courts when not illegal or contrary to public policy. A perpetual condition that the land should be used as a site for a college or university, subject to reversion in case such use should discontinue, would under the decisions of the supreme court of Illinois not be illegal or contrary to public policy. Necessarily the same doctrine applies to such a condition for a limited time.

(j) I am of the opinion that the condition limiting the use of the property to college or university purposes for a period of one hundred years from August 24, 1891, is a valid and proper

(1) The supreme court of Illinois has clearly decided that conditions as to the use of land, even though perpetual, are valid, and that the reservation of a reversion for the violation of such conditions is proper. Dees v. Chewyonts, 240 Ill. 486 (1909) is fairly typical of these cases. In this case, a deed was held good which conveyed certain land "to the trustees of schools so long as it shall be used as a school house site, and whenever it shall be discontinued as a school house site, then to revert to the grantors". The court on page 490 said that the deed "created in the grantees a base or determinable fee and the only right left in the grantor was not a vested future estate in fee, but only what it called a naked possibility of reverter which is incapable of alienation or devise, although it descends to his heirs." Similar views will be found in Latham v. I. C. R. R., 253 Ill. 93 (1912), Sherman v. Town of Jefferson, 274 Ill. 294 (1916) and McElvaine v. Dorris, 298 Ill. 377 (1921). While conditions subsequent are not favored in the law and will be strictly construed, the conditions of such deeds will be enforced by the courts when not illegal or contrary to public policy. A perpetual condition that the land should be used as a site for a college or university, subject to reversion in case such use should discontinue, would under the decisions of the supreme court of Illinois not be illegal or contrary to public policy. Necessarily the same doctrine applies to such a condition for a limited time.

(2) I am of the opinion that the condition limiting the use of the property to college or university purposes for a period of one hundred years from August 24, 1891, is a valid and proper

provision of the deed and that it would not be subject to successful judicial attack. The repetition of the condition in a subsequent deed by the successor to the American Baptist Education Society strengthens this view. The power of the Society to impose the condition appears to me not to be subject to successful attack. The one hundred year limitation applies to all three of the blocks. As to block 3 and the north half of block 2 the reversion is to the heirs of Marshall Field under the deed of June 1, 1890. As to block 7 and the south half of block 2 the reversion under the deed of August 24, 1891, is to the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention as the successor to the American Baptist Education Society.

2. Condition against alienation or mortgage without consent.

(a) The tenth resolution adopted by the American Baptist Education Society on May 18, 1889 (Goodspeed, History of the University of Chicago, page 481-2) provided "that the Society shall take the title to the real estate of the institution and convey the same to the trustees of said institution, subject to a reversionary clause, providing that in case the trustees shall ever mortgage the same or any part of it, or any portion of the property thereon, the whole shall revert to the Society".

(b) A resolution adopted by the American Baptist Education Society on July 1, 1891, and appended to the deed from this Society to the University of Chicago, contained a provision that "the University of Chicago shall never be able to alienate the property for debt or other purpose without the consent of the Society".

(c) The deed of Blocks 2, 3 and 7 in Marshall Field's

Addition, from the American Baptist Education Society to the University of Chicago, dated August 24, 1891, contained the express condition "that said second party shall at no time alienate or mortgage the said premises for any debt or other purpose without the consent of the first party", and attached to this and other conditions a provision that "in the event of the breach of any of these conditions the title to the said premises shall revert to said first party or its successor".

(d) When the deed of August 24, 1891 to the University of Chicago is compared with the resolutions of the American Baptist Education Society of May 18, 1889, and of July 1, 1891, it will be seen that those executing the deed for the American Baptist Education Society were required by the earlier resolution to provide that in case the trustees shall ever mortgage the property or any part of it, the whole shall revert to the Society, while the later resolution provided that the University of Chicago should never alienate the property for debt or other purposes without the consent of the Society, the later resolution not specifically expressing the penalty of forfeiture and reverter. At the time of the resolution of July 1, 1891, title to the property was in the Society. Title to the property was not in the Society at the time of the resolution of May 18, 1889. It was entirely proper for the Society by the subsequent resolution of 1891 to enlarge or reduce the limitations specified in the earlier resolutions of May 18, 1889. From a purely legal standpoint the fact that subscriptions were made on the basis of the earlier resolutions is immaterial for this purpose.

The deed of August 24, 1891, from the American Baptist Education Society to the University of Chicago may properly be regarded as a sufficient compliance with the resolution of 1889, which provided for reverter, in case the University shall mortgage the property, and with the supplementary resolution of July 1, 1891, forbidding the alienation of the property for debt or other purposes without the consent of the Society. The application of the reverter provision both to alienation and to mortgage would almost certainly not be held an excess of authority under the two resolutions when read together.

(e) The deed to the University of Chicago by the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention as the successor to the American Baptist Education Society, executed October 9, 1923, repeats the condition of the original deed of August 24, 1891, against alienation or mortgage without consent.

(f) In view of the history of the condition against alienation or mortgage without the consent of the first party, I am of the opinion that those executing the deed on behalf of the American Baptist Education Society acted within the scope of their authority.

(g) It is fully established that in this state an absolute restraint on the alienation of an estate granted in fee simple is void as against public policy. The court has said that "a restriction, whether by way of condition or of devise over or against alienation, although for a limited time, of an estate in fee is

likewise void, as repugnant to the estate devised to the first taker, by depriving him during that time of the inherent power of alienation." McFadden v. McFadden, 302 Ill. 504 (1922), P.507.

But the condition against alienation or mortgage in the University of Chicago deed is not absolute, nor is it limited to a particular time or to a particular person. If it were it could readily be pronounced void and disregarded under the decisions of the supreme court of Illinois. The condition is that the University of Chicago "shall at no time alienate or mortgage the said premises for any debt or other purpose without the consent of the first party."

The validity of such a condition as this appears not to have been passed upon by the supreme court of Illinois. The closest issue to the one here involved was that in King v. Lee, 282 Ill. 530 (1918), where the court said on page 536-7 with respect to a similar condition in a deed to a church society:

"The provision, that nothing herein contained shall give the said trustees the power to sell or dispose of the said lot without the written consent of the said party of the first part or of his heirs and assigns, even if valid and binding--which we do not hold--taking the language thereof literally, would not operate to deprive the trustees of the church society of their title to the property or their right to occupy and use the same, so long as they did not attempt to sell it. The provisions as to removing buildings, and not selling without the grantor's consent, are compatible with a restriction or condition as to the use of the property".

The court in this language apparently implies that the restriction against selling without consent may be regarded as an incident to a restriction as to use. It must be remembered that the supreme court of Illinois upholds restrictions as to use, coupled with a reversion. Conditions subsequent are strictly construed. In the case of King v. Lee, the language employed in the deed was held insufficient to support a reversion. The language in the deed to the University of Chicago is sufficient for this purpose, if the condition is valid. In King v. Lee, at page 535, the court refers to the words "upon condition" as necessary to reserve the rights of the grantor. The University of Chicago deed uses the words "the express condition", and couples this language with a provision that "in the event of the breach of any of these conditions the title to the said premises shall revert to the said first party or its successor". The language of King v. Lee is in no way decisive, but raises a grave doubt as to the possibility of avoiding the condition against alienation or mortgage, without the consent of the American Baptist Education Society or its successor.

3. Suggestions.

Your inquiry relates to blocks 2, 3, and 7 in Marshall Field's Addition to Chicago.

The conditions in the University of Chicago deed are conditions subsequent. That is, they are conditions whose later violation may result in putting an end to the rights of the grantee. The supreme court of Illinois has frequently held that the breach of a condition subsequent can be taken advantage of only by the

grantor or his heirs. No right to enforce a forfeiture may be transferred by the grantor or his heirs. The supreme court of Illinois in Sanitary District of Chicago v. Chicago Title and Trust Company, 378 Ill. 529 (1917), said at page 548:

"The waiver of a forfeiture may be by parol even though the instrument is under seal, and where the grantor does any act inconsistent with his reliance upon the condition, his act amounts to a waiver of the condition so as to preclude him from afterward availing himself of the forfeiture." Although this is true, any waiver of conditions should be by formal written action, recorded with the Recorder of Deeds of Cook County, so that proof may be readily and easily made of the waiver.

The condition as to the use of the premises for a period of one hundred years raises questions as to the relationship to the heirs of Marshall Field and to the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention. With respect to block 3 and the north half of block 2, the period of one hundred years begin to run from the Marshall Field deed, dated June 1, 1890. The possibility of reverter to block 3 and the north half of block 2 is in the heirs of Marshall Field. In order to be relieved of this condition as to such property it will be necessary to obtain the consent of the heirs of Marshall Field.

With respect to block 7 and the south half of block 2, the one hundred year limitation runs from the deed of August 24, 1891, from the American Baptist Education Society to the University of Chicago. To be relieved of this condition it would be necessary to obtain action from the Board of Education of the

grantor or his heirs. No right to enforce a forfeiture may be transferred by the grantor or his heirs. The supreme court of Illinois in Sanitary District of Chicago v. Chicago Title and Trust Company, 378 Ill. 529 (1917), said at page 548:

"The waiver of a forfeiture may be by parol even though the instrument is under seal, and where the grantor does any act inconsistent with his reliance upon the condition, his act amounts to a waiver of the condition so as to preclude him from afterwards availing himself of the forfeiture." Although this is true, any waiver of conditions should be by formal written action, recorded with the Recorder of Deeds of Cook County, so that proof may be readily and easily made of the waiver.

The condition as to the use of the premises for a period of one hundred years raises questions as to the relationship to the heirs of Marshall Field and to the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention. With respect to block 3 and the north half of block 2, the period of one hundred years began to run from the Marshall Field deed, dated June 1, 1890. The possibility of reverter to block 3 and the north half of block 2 is in the heirs of Marshall Field. In order to be relieved of this condition as to such property it will be necessary to obtain the consent of the heirs of Marshall Field.

With respect to block 7 and the south half of block 2, the one hundred year limitation runs from the deed of August 24, 1891, from the American Baptist Education Society to the University of Chicago. To be relieved of this condition it would be necessary to obtain action from the Board of Education of the

Northern Baptist Convention as the successor to the American Baptist Education Society.

The provision regarding reverter in the American Baptist Education Society deed of August 24, 1891, relates in terms to all of blocks 2, 3, and 7, but the condition of this deed so far as it relates to block 3 and the north half of block 2 might perhaps be safely disregarded, in view of the fact that it could legally relate only to Block 7 and the south half of block 2. However, in order to avoid all complications, it would be desirable for the University of Chicago, if it is to obtain release from the one hundred year condition, to do so by two acts, (1) an action of the heirs of Marshall Field releasing the University from the one hundred year condition as to block 3 and the north half of block 2, and (2) action by the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention relieving the University of Chicago from the one hundred year condition as to block 7 and the south half of block 2, and in addition, but in the same document, releasing the condition as to block 3 and the north half of block 2.

With respect to the condition against alienation or mortgage for any debt or other purpose without the consent of the first party, only one issue is involved. A release from this condition may be obtained from the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention as the successor to the American Baptist Education Society.

Although the action to be obtained from the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention relates to two conditions, those as to use for one hundred years and as to alienation, action of the

Northern Baptist Convention as the successor to the American Baptist Education Society.

The provision regarding reverter in the American Baptist Education Society deed of August 24, 1891, relates in terms to all of blocks 2, 3, and 5, but the condition of this deed so far as it relates to block 3 and the north half of block 2 might perhaps be safely disregarded, in view of the fact that it could legally relate only to Block 5 and the south half of block 2. However, in order to avoid all complications, it would be desirable for the University of Chicago, if it is to obtain release from the one hundred year condition, to do so by two acts, (1) and action of the heirs of Marshall Field releasing the University from the one hundred year condition as to block 3 and the north half of block 2, and (2) action by the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention relieving the University of Chicago from the one hundred year condition as to block 5 and the south half of block 2, and in addition, but in the same document, releasing the condition as to block 3 and the north half of block 2. With respect to the condition against alienation or mortgage for any debt or other purpose without the consent of the first party, only one issue is involved. A release from this condition may be obtained from the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention as the successor to the American Baptist Education Society. Although the action to be obtained from the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention relates to two conditions, those as to use for one hundred years and as to alienation, action of the

Board should appropriately be in one document. My present feeling is that if a release from these conditions is to be undertaken, it should not be by a change in the present deed, but by separate conveyances, one from the heirs of Marshall Field and the other from the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention.

I desire to call attention to certain matters to be considered in determining the policy of the University. The condition against alienation or mortgage is not absolute, but is merely one against such alienation or mortgage "without the consent of the first party." The consent specified in the deed is a specific consent and a mere general consent to future alienation or mortgage would probably not be sufficient. The condition may best be waived by formal conveyance. An alienation or mortgage is now possible at any time with the consent of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention, as the successor of the American Baptist Education Society. If the University takes steps to obtain a release from this condition, it should make it clear from the beginning that there is no intention to alienate or mortgage, but that release is being sought for the purpose of avoiding possible future difficulties. The provision against alienation or mortgage was probably placed in the deed in order to avoid certain difficulties with respect to the property of the old University of Chicago, to which Dr. Goodspeed calls attention in his history.

In connection with any movement to obtain release from the one hundred year limitation, both the heirs of Marshall Field and the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention should be informed from the beginning that there is no present purpose to

Board should appropriately be in one document. My present feeling is that if a release from these conditions is to be undertaken, it

should not be by a change in the present deed, but by separate conveyances, one from the heirs of Marshall Field and the other from the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention.

I desire to call attention to certain matters to be con-

sidered in determining the policy of the University. The con-

dition against alienation or mortgage is not absolute, but is merely one against such alienation or mortgage "without the consent of the first party." The consent specified in the deed is a spec-

ific consent and a mere general consent to future alienation or

mortgage would probably not be sufficient. The condition may best be waived by formal conveyance. An alienation or mortgage

is now possible at any time with the consent of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention, as the successor of the American Baptist Education Society. If the University takes steps to obtain a release from this condition, it should make it clear from the beginning that there is no intention to alienate or mortgage, but that release is being sought for the purpose of avoiding possible future difficulties. The provision against alienation or mortgage was probably placed in the deed in order to avoid certain difficulties with respect to the property of the old University of Chicago, to which Dr. Goodspeed calls attention in his history.

In connection with any movement to obtain release from

the one hundred year limitation, both the heirs of Marshall Field and the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention should be informed from the beginning that there is no present purpose to

use the property for other than educational purposes as the site for a college or university, but merely a desire to unloosen the hands of the University should conditions so change during a period of sixty-five years as to make such a step necessary or desirable.

I assume that the issues of policy have not yet been determined and that the question of detailed methods to accomplish the purposes outlined above is not submitted to me for present consideration.

For your convenience I am transmitting three copies of this letter. I have not discussed the denominational conditions in the deed, inasmuch as your letter indicates that these conditions should be left unaltered at the present time. However, I have indicated that the other two conditions imposed in the deed to the University of Chicago may properly be regarded as to some extent incident to and a means of carrying out the original conditions imposed with respect to the denominational character of the University.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) W. F. DODD

use the property for other than educational purposes as the site for a college or university, but merely a desire to unloosen the hands of the University should conditions so change during a period of sixty-five years as to make such a step necessary or desirable. I assume that the issues of policy have not yet been determined and that the question of detailed methods to accomplish the purposes outlined above is not submitted to me for present consideration.

For your convenience I am transmitting three copies of this letter. I have not discussed the denominational conditions in the deed, inasmuch as your letter indicates that these conditions should be left unaltered at the present time. However, I have indicated that the other two conditions imposed in the deed to the University of Chicago may properly be regarded as to some extent incident to and a means of carrying out the original conditions imposed with respect to the denominational character of the University.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) W. F. DODD

The University of Chicago

Office of the Auditor

T 4

March 17, 1925.

100-2975

My dear President Burton:

I am returning herewith Mr. Padelford's letter of March 7, and beg to inform you that on examination I find that the University did not pay the expenses of representatives of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention in connection with negotiations with reference to changes in the charter.

Yours very truly,

W. D. Hampton

President E. D. Burton,
Harper Library.

My remembrance is
the Univ.
Offered to pay
but B. O. 2 and
declined

The University of Chicago

Office of the President

March 17, 1952

My dear President Burton:

I am returning herewith Mr. Pedelford's letter of March 7, and beg to inform you that on examination I find that the University did not pay the expenses of representatives of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention in connection with negotiations with reference to changes in the charter.

Yours very truly,

W. B. Burton

President E. C. Burton

Harvard Library

THE BOARD OF EDUCATION
OF THE NORTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION

CLARENCE A. BARBOUR, CHAIRMAN

FRANK W. PADELFORD, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
GEORGE R. BAKER, ASSOCIATE SECRETARY

FRANK L. MINER, TREASURER

DEPARTMENT OF STUDENT WORK
ALLYN K. FOSTER, SECRETARY
MISS FRANCES P. GREENOUGH, SECRETARY

DEPARTMENT OF MISSIONARY EDUCATION
WILLIAM A. HILL, SECRETARY
MISS MAY HUSTON, ASSOCIATE SECRETARY
FLOYD L. CARR, FIELD SECRETARY
MISS ALMA J. NOBLE, SECRETARY
WORLD WIDE GUILD
MISS MARY L. NOBLE, SECRETARY
CHILDREN'S WORLD CRUSADE
MISS HELEN E. HOBART, FIELD SECRETARY
THE GUILD AND THE CRUSADE
DEPARTMENT OF RELIGIOUS EDUCATION

278 FIFTH AVENUE
NEW YORK, N. Y.

March 7, 1955

President Ernest D. Burton,
The University of Chicago
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Dr. Burton:-

You will remember that two or three years ago there were several joint meetings of the committees of the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago and of the Board of Education relative to the change in the charter. Do you have a clear remembrance as to the agreement that was reached regarding the payment of the expenses of the members of the committee in attendance at those conferences. I remember that we discussed the matter at one time and that the representative of the University stated that the University would be glad to pay the entire expense but I am not sure whether we decided that it was wiser to have the Board of Education bear the expense of its representatives or not.

My reason for asking this is that I have just received a statement of account from President Chamberlain relative to this matter, his expense account never having been paid, and I am at a loss to know whether we should pay this, or whether in accordance with the arrangement I should forward it to the University. There is no desire on my part to escape the payment, but only to carry out the agreement in case you should remember what it was.

Very truly yours,

Frank W. Padelford

*Mr. Chamberlain
sent the Univ.
pay expenses?
B & F? I think
the Univ. agreed to
accept payment
from U.
F.W.P.*

THE
EDUCATION
OF THE
FIRST CONVENTION

FRANK J. MINER, TREASURER

AMERICAN EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT SECRETARY



CLARENCE A. BARBOUR, CHAIRMAN

DEPARTMENT OF STUDENT WORK

ALLAN K. FOSTER, SECRETARY

MISS TRACY, SECRETARY

DEPARTMENT OF MISCELLANEOUS EDUCATION

MISS LAMAR A. HILL, SECRETARY

MISS MAY HURTON, ASSOCIATE SECRETARY

MISS CARR, FIELD SECRETARY

MISS A. J. NOBLE, SECRETARY

MISS W. C. GORD

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

MISS MARY E. HOBBS, SECRETARY

You will remember that two or three years ago there were several joint meetings of the committee of the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago and of the Board of Education relative to the change in the charter. Do you have a clear remembrance as to the expense of the board of the committee in attending at those conferences? I remember that we discussed the matter at one time and that the representative of the University stated that the University would be glad to pay the entire expense but I am not sure whether we decided that it was wise to have the Board of Education bear the expense of the representative or not.

My reason for asking this is that I have just received a statement of account from President Chandler relative to this matter. His expense account never having been paid, and I am at a loss to know whether we should pay this or whether in accordance with the arrangement I should forward it to the University. There is no desire on my part to escape the payment, but only to carry out the agreement in case you should remember what it was.

Very truly yours,

Frank J. Miner

March 7, 1923

*Mr. Ernest B. Burton
The University of Chicago
Chicago, Ill.*

March 19, 1925.

My dear Dr. Padelford:

In reply to your letter of March 7th, President Burton has asked that I inform you that upon examination he learns that the University did not pay the expenses of the representatives of the Board of Education of the Northern Baptist Convention in connection with negotiations with reference to changes in the Charter. President Burton's personal recollection is that the University offered to pay the expenses, but the Board of Education declined.

Very truly yours,

Secretary to the President.

Dr. Frank W. Padelford,
278 Fifth Ave.,
New York City, N.Y.

WESLS

March 12, 1932.

My dear Mr. [illegible]

It was a pleasure to hear from you

and to learn that you were still

interested in the [illegible] of [illegible]

and that you were still [illegible]

of the [illegible] of the [illegible]

of the [illegible] of the [illegible]

in [illegible] of the [illegible]

in [illegible] of the [illegible]

in [illegible] of the [illegible]

in [illegible] of the [illegible]

in [illegible] of the [illegible]

Very truly yours,

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

May 12, 1925.

Mrs. Anna L. Sears,
55 Mount Valley,
Brookline, Mass.

Dear Mrs. Sears:

It has been suggested that you might be interested in establishing a professorship at the University of Chicago, to be known as the Richard Warren Sears Distinguished Service Professorship.

When President Harper undertook the organization of the University of Chicago, he first made a survey of the great universities of Europe, particularly of Germany. In talking to a group of Chicago people shortly thereafter, he said that he had learned, from this survey, a great lesson. He had found it sometimes difficult to find the buildings of some of the great universities of Europe and when he succeeded in finding them, as buildings, they were nothing to boast of. He came to the conclusion that buildings did not make a university; that the greatness of a university was measured by its men, its teachers and scholars.

On this theory, he organized the University of Chicago, and gathering some of the greatest teachers and scholars together in 1893 he created at once, in Chicago, one of the great universities of the world, with buildings at that time hardly sufficient to furnish lecture rooms and laboratories.

To assist directly in bringing one of the world's great scholars and teachers to Chicago; to be the means of making possible important researches in chemistry or physics, or any other line of learning by one of these leaders and of providing for his training and studying with

May 12, 1925.

Mrs. Anna L. Sears,
25 Mount Valley,
Brookline, Mass.

Dear Mrs. Sears:

It has been suggested that you might be interested in establishing a professorship at the University of Chicago, to be known as the Richard Warren Sears Distinguished Lecture Professorship. When President Harper undertook the organization of the University of Chicago, he first made a survey of the great universities of Europe, particularly of Germany. In talking to a group of Chicago people shortly thereafter, he said that he had learned, from this survey, a great lesson. He had found it sometimes difficult to find the buildings of some of the great universities of Europe and when he succeeded in finding them, as buildings, they were nothing to boast of. He came to the conclusion that buildings did not make a university; that the greatness of a university was measured by its men, its teachers and scholars.

On this theory, he organized the University of Chicago, and gathering some of the greatest teachers and scholars together in 1892 he created at once, in Chicago, one of the great universities of the world, with buildings at that time hardly sufficient to furnish lecture rooms and laboratories.

To assist directly in bringing one of the world's great scholars and teachers to Chicago; to be the means of making possible important researches in chemistry or physics, or any other line of learning by one of these leaders and of providing for his training and studying with

the students of the coming generations is one of the rare opportunities today.

As a memorial, it is perhaps less tangible than the erection of a building and it may be more modest, but it is such a direct and fundamental contribution to the welfare of the coming and future generations that I thought it worth while to write you in the hope that the thought itself might make its appeal to you.

May I also direct your attention to the enclosed pamphlet which still further exemplifies this idea?

Very truly yours,

PVH.MY

the students of the coming generations is one of the rare opportunities

today.

As a memorial, it is perhaps less tangible than the
erection of a building and it may be more modest, but it is such a
direct and fundamental contribution to the welfare of the coming and
future generations that I thought it worth while to write you in the
hope that the thought itself might make its appeal to you.

May I also direct your attention to the enclosed

pamphlet which still further exemplifies this idea?

Very truly yours,

P.V.H. MY

sent.

May 16, 1925.

My dear Mrs. Sears:

Will you permit me, though not personally acquainted with you, to suggest to you a matter which I hope may interest you? I refer to the establishment of a professorship at the University of Chicago, to be known as the Richard Warren Sears Distinguished Service Professorship.

When President Harper undertook the organization of the University of Chicago thirty-three years ago he first made a survey of the great universities of Europe. In talking to a group of Chicago people shortly thereafter, he said that he had learned, from this survey, a great lesson. He had found it sometimes difficult to find the buildings of some of the great universities of Europe and when he succeeded in finding them, as buildings they were nothing to boast of. He came to the conclusion that buildings did not make a university; that the greatness of a university was measured by its men, its teachers and scholars.

On this theory he organized the University of Chicago, and gathering some of the greatest teachers and scholars together in 1892, he created at once in Chicago one of the great universities of the world, with buildings at that time hardly sufficient to furnish lecture rooms and laboratories, but with men of outstanding ability.

1
Sent.

May 16, 1925.

My dear Mrs. Sears:

Will you permit me, though not personally

acquainted with you, to suggest to you a matter which I hope may interest you? I refer to the establishment of a professorship at the University of Chicago, to be known as the Richard Warren Sears Distinguished Service Professorship. When President Harper undertook the organization of the University of Chicago thirty-three years ago he first made a survey of the great universities of Europe. In talking to a group of Chicago people shortly thereafter, he said that he had learned, from this survey, a great lesson. He had found it sometimes difficult to find the buildings of some of the great universities of Europe and when he succeeded in finding them, as buildings they were nothing to boast of. He came to the conclusion that buildings did not make a university; that the greatness of a university was measured by its men, its teachers and scholars.

On this theory he organized the University of Chicago, and gathering some of the greatest teachers and scholars together in 1892, he created at once in Chicago one of the great universities of the world, with buildings at that time hardly sufficient to furnish lecture rooms and laboratories, but with men of outstanding ability.

Our recent study of the University and its possibilities for the future has convinced us all on the one hand that the University of Chicago is facing a great, and in some respects, a new opportunity of usefulness, and on the other, that the prime condition of success in meeting this opportunity is the same as in 1892, men of first class ability.

To assist directly in bringing one of the world's great scholars and teachers to Chicago, - to be the means of making possible important researches in chemistry and physics, or any other line of learning by one of these leaders who shall at the same time train his students to follow in his footsteps, - is one of the rare opportunities today.

As a memorial, such a professorship is perhaps less tangible than a building and it may be more modest. But it is so direct and fundamental a contribution to the welfare of the coming and future generations, that I have hoped that the suggestion might make its appeal to you.

In further explanation of my thought may I also direct your attention to the enclosed two pamphlets, the smaller one of which sets forth our proposal in very brief form?

Hoping that this matter may interest you, and that I may have the pleasure of hearing from you, I am

Very truly yours,

Mrs. Anna L. Sears,
55 Mount Walley,
Brookline, Mass.

Our recent study of the University and its possibilities for the future has convinced us all on the one hand that the University of Chicago is facing a great, and in some respects, a new opportunity of usefulness, and on the other, that the prime condition of success in meeting this opportunity is the same as in 1892, men of first class ability.

To assist directly in bringing one of the world's great scholars and teachers to Chicago, - to be the means of making possible important researches in chemistry and physics, or any other line of learning by one of these leaders who shall at the same time train his students to follow in his footsteps, - is one of the rare opportunities today.

As a memorial, such a professorship is perhaps less tangible than a building and it may be more modest. But it is as direct and fundamental a contribution to the welfare of the coming and future generations, that I have hoped that the suggestion might make its appeal to you.

In further explanation of my thought may I also direct your attention to the enclosed two pamphlets, the smaller one of which sets forth our proposal in very brief form?

Hoping that this matter may interest you, and that I may have the pleasure of hearing from you, I am

Very truly yours,

Mrs. Anna L. Sears,
55 Mount Walley,
Brookline, Mass.

Harold H. Swift
Union Stock Yards
Chicago

anna L.
Mrs. Sears.
55 Mount Valley
Brookline - Mass.

May 16, 1925.

President Ernest D. Burton,
1146 East 59th Street,
Chicago, Illinois.

Dear Mr. Burton:

I like the proposed letter to Mrs. Sears very much indeed and think it is entirely satisfactory to go out. I suggest that it go immediately attached to the pamphlet "Men" and the small folder, rather than under separate cover, and that it all be sent first class mail.

I shall send you a little later a separate letter reference the medical building program.

Yours cordially,

Harold H. Swift

Received
of the
25th of May
1900

May 10, 1900

Frederick J. Smith
1111 North 1st Street
Chicago, Illinois

I like the proposed letter to Mr. John very
much and think it is entirely satisfactory to me.
I suggest that it be immediately attached to the pamphlet
and sent out as one. I have been under separate cover.
I shall send you a little later a separate letter
concerning the medical building project.

Yours cordially,

Frederick J. Smith

T4B
X

THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
of the
GENERAL BOARD OF PROMOTION
of the
NORTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION

Records of the meeting held at
Chicago, Ill.
February 5-6, 1924

T+B
X

THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE

of the

GENERAL BOARD OF PROMOTION

of the

NORTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION

Records of the meeting held at

Chicago, Ill.
February 5-6, 1924

THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
OF THE GENERAL BOARD OF PROMOTION
OF THE NORTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION

RECORDS OF THE MEETING HELD AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, FEBRUARY 5-6, 1924

The Administrative Committee of the General Board of Promotion of the Northern Baptist Convention met in the Chicago Beach Hotel, Chicago, Illinois, on Tuesday, February 5, 1924.

The following members of the Committee were present at the three sessions:

Frederick L. Anderson	C. E. Milliken
W. H. Bartle (two sessions)	Mrs. W. A. Montgomery
Jessie Burrall	John M. Moore
Mrs. George W. Coleman	G. W. Palmer
John A. Earl (one session)	E. H. Rhoades, Jr.
Mrs. C. D. Eulette	Mrs. J. D. Rough
Arthur M. Harris	Joseph E. Sagebeer
Emory W. Hunt	Frederick E. Taylor

As members of the executive staff of the General Board of Promotion.

General Director J. Y. Aitchison	
Frederick A. Agar	Harry S. Myers
H. R. Bowler	Stacy R. Warburton
W. H. Bowler	

As members of the Advisory Committee:

George R. Baker	P. H. M. Lerrigo
Mrs. L. J. P. Bishop	C. H. Sears
Gilbert N. Brink	E. T. Tomlinson
H. A. Heath	Mrs. K. S. Westfall
	Charles L. White

In addition there were present administrative officers and members of the Boards of Managers of the cooperating organizations and of the State Conventions, and visiting pastors and members of churches, who had come to Chicago to attend the meetings of the General Board of Promotion.

In the absence of Chairman Albert L. Scott, the Committee was called to order by the Vice-Chairman, Mrs. George W. Coleman, at 11 A.M.

Prayer was offered by Emory W. Hunt and Frederick E. Taylor.

RECORDS OF THE MEETING HELD AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, FEBRUARY 5-6, 1924
OF THE NORTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION
OF THE GENERAL BOARD OF PROMOTION
THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE

The Administrative Committee of the General Board of Promotion of the Northern Baptist Convention met in the Chicago Beach Hotel, Chicago, Illinois, on Tuesday, February 5, 1924.

The following members of the Committee were present at the

three sessions:

Frederick L. Anderson	Frederick E. Taylor
W.H. Bartle (two sessions)	Joseph E. Sagebeer
Jessie Burdall	Mrs. J.D. Rough
Mrs. George W. Coleman	E.H. Rhodes, Jr.
John A. Earl (one session)	G.W. Palmer
Mrs. C.D. Eufester	John M. Moore
Arthur M. Harris	Mrs. W.A. Montgomery
Emory W. Hunt	C.E. Milliken

As members of the executive staff of the General Board of Promotion

General Director J.Y. Aitchison	Frederick A. Agay
Harry S. Myers	H.R. Bowler
Stacy R. Warburton	W.H. Bowler

As members of the Advisory Committee:

George R. Baker	P.H.E. Lerrigo
Mrs. L.J. Bishop	C.H. Sears
Gilbert W. Brink	E.T. Tomlinson
H.A. Heath	Mrs. K.S. Westfall
	Charles L. White

In addition there were present administrative officers and members of the Boards of Managers of the cooperating organizations and of the State Conventions, and visiting pastors and members of churches, who had come to Chicago to attend the meetings of the General Board of Promotion.

In the absence of Chairman Albert L. Scott, the Committee was called to order by the Vice-Chairman, Mrs. George W. Coleman, at 11 A.M. Prayer was offered by Emory W. Hunt and Frederick E. Taylor.

On motion of Frederick E. Taylor, it was

A1057
APPROVAL
OF RECORDS
OF PREVIOUS
MEETING

VOTED: That, on recommendation of the Committee on Order of Business, the records of the meeting of the Administrative Committee held at Chicago, Illinois, December 5, 1923, be approved.

The Recording Secretary presented the report of the Committee on Order of Business, and, on motion of John M. Moore, it was

A1058
COMMITTEE
ON ORDER
OF BUSINESS:
REPORT

VOTED: That the report and recommendations of the Committee on Order of Business be adopted, as follows:

1. That the agenda distributed to members of the Committee be adopted as the order of business for this meeting.
2. That the Committee adjourn at 12:30 P.M., to reconvene at 2:30 P.M.

Question was raised as to the procedure to be followed in making settlement of New World Movement pledges with pledgors in local churches, and, on motion of Frederick L. Anderson, was referred for consideration to the Committee on Stewardship.

The report of the Treasurer was read by the General Director and, after consideration and on motion of John M. Moore, was approved.

C.E. Milliken made a statement on behalf of the Committee of Nine, indicating that the report of the Committee was not yet ready for presentation and requesting that a later time be set for the presentation of the report of the Committee.

On motion of Frederick L. Anderson, it was

A1059
ADMINISTRATIVE
COMMITTEE
MEETING
FEBRUARY 6

VOTED: That a meeting of the Administrative Committee be held on Wednesday, February 6, at 8 A.M., for the purpose of receiving the report of the Committee of Nine.

The Recording Secretary reported for the Advisory Committee and, in response to his request, P.H.J. Lerrigo, as Chairman of a special sub-committee of the Advisory Committee, made a statement concerning the steps which had been taken by the Advisory Committee to carry out

the steps which had been taken by the Advisory Committee to carry out

sub-committee of the Advisory Committee, made a statement concerning
in response to his request, P.H.J. Lerrigo, as Chairman of a special

The Recording Secretary reported for the Advisory Committee and,

FEBRUARY 6 MEETING
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
VOTED: That a meeting of the Administrative
Committee be held on Wednesday, February 6,
at 8 A.M., for the purpose of receiving the
report of the Committee of Nine.

On motion of Frederick L. Anderson, it was

tion of the report of the Committee.

presentation and requesting that a later time be set for the presenta-
indicating that the report of the Committee was not yet ready for

C.E. Milliken made a statement on behalf of the Committee of Nine,
after consideration and on motion of John M. Moore, was approved.

The report of the Treasurer was read by the General Director and
tion to the Committee on Stewardship.

es, and, on motion of Frederick L. Anderson, was referred for considera-
settlement of New World Movement pledges with pledgees in local church
Question was raised as to the procedure to be followed in making

2. That the Committee adjourn at 12:30 P.M., to
reconvene at 2:30 P.M.

1. That the agenda distributed to members of the
Committee be adopted as the order of business
for this meeting.

VOTED: That the report and recommendations of the
Committee on Order of Business be adopted, as
follows:

on Order of Business, and, on motion of John M. Moore, it was

The Recording Secretary presented the report of the Committee

MEETING
OF PREVIOUS
OF RECORDS
APPROVAL
ALSO

Illinois, December 5, 1933, be approved.
of the Administrative Committee held at Chicago,
on Order of Business, the records of the meeting
VOTED: That, on recommendation of the Committee

On motion of Frederick E. Taylor, it was

the instructions of the Administrative Committee, concerning the con-
sulting of the budgets of denominational organizations for 1924-25
(A1051). On motion of E.H. Rhoades, Jr., the report of the Advisory
Committee was approved.

The report of the Committee on Field Activities was presented
by W. H. Bowler, who reported the results of the Bible and Missionary
Conferences at Boston and Springfield, the financial outcome of the
entire series of Bible and Missionary Conferences, the arrangements
for field work in connection with the carrying out of the every member
plan, and a series of conferences which had been held with State Con-
vention Boards and other groups in preparation for this plan. He also
presented, on behalf of the Committee, a recommendation, in consequence
of which and on motion of C.E. Milliken, it was

A1060
STUDY OF
CLERICAL
SALARIES

VOTED: That the Business Committee be requested to
make a thorough study of the salaries paid to
stenographers and other office assistants by the
General Board of Promotion and the cooperating or-
ganizations, with a view to correcting any inequali-
ties which may exist in the clerical salary schedules
of the various organizations.

Mrs. L. J. P. Bishop reported informally on behalf of the Continua-
tion Campaign Committee, reviewing the progress made in connection
with the series of Echo Luncheons. In order that a recommendation
from the Committee of Conference of the two Women's Societies might be
presented later, action was postponed on the report of the Committee.

F. A. Agar presented the report of the Committee on Stewardship
and, after consideration and on motion of E.H. Rhoades, Jr., it was

A1061
STEWARDSHIP
COMMITTEE:
REPORT

VOTED: That the report and recommendations of
the Committee on Stewardship be adopted, as follows:

1. That one month, preferably October, be set aside as
stewardship month in each church. If this month is not
convenient to any church, then some other month may be used.
Suitable literature will be provided which can be used in
any month.

the instructions of the Administrative Committee, concerning the con-
sisting of the budgets of denominational organizations for 1934-35
(A1051). On motion of E.H. Rhodes, Jr., the report of the Advisory
Committee was approved.

The report of the Committee on Field Activities was presented
by W. H. Bowler, who reported the results of the Bible and Missionary
Conferences at Boston and Springfield, the financial outcome of the
entire series of Bible and Missionary Conferences, the arrangements
for field work in connection with the carrying out of the every member
plan, and a series of conferences which had been held with State Con-
vention Boards and other groups in preparation for this plan. He also
presented, on behalf of the Committee, a recommendation, in consequence
of which and on motion of C.E. Milliken, it was

VOTED: That the Business Committee be requested to
make a thorough study of the salaries paid to
stenographers and other office assistants by the
General Board of Promotion and the cooperating or-
ganizations, with a view to correcting any inequali-
ties which may exist in the clerical salary schedules
of the various organizations.

A1050
STUDY OF
CLERICAL
SALARIES

Mrs. L. J. P. Bishop reported informally on behalf of the Continua-
tion Campaign Committee, reviewing the progress made in connection
with the series of Echo Luncheons. In order that a recommendation
from the Committee of Conference of the two Women's Societies might be
presented later, action was postponed on the report of the Committee.
F. A. Agar presented the report of the Committee on Stewardship
and, after consideration and on motion of E.H. Rhodes, Jr., it was

VOTED: That the report and recommendations of
the Committee on Stewardship be adopted, as follows:

A1051
STEWARDSHIP
COMMITTEE:
REPORT

1. That one month, preferably October, be set aside as
stewardship month in each church. If this month is not
convenient to any church, then some other month may be used.
Suitable literature will be provided which can be used in
any month.

2. That the Committee on Field Activities and the Committee on Stewardship be authorized to plan, in cooperation with state leaders and the Committee of Conference of the Woman's Societies, for a series of church officers' councils and pastors' conferences during the coming year.

3. That the Committee on Field Activities and the Committee on Stewardship be authorized to plan, in consultation with state leaders and the Committee of Conference of the Woman's Societies, for a definite follow-up in May and June, supplementary to the main approach to the churches in February and March, and also to reach the whole constituency in October in connection with the Every Member Plan.

4. That a plan for two thank offerings from the whole church, one in the fall, and one in the spring, be authorized, and that the Woman's Societies be authorized to complete plans for this object in conjunction with the Committee on Stewardship.

After prayer by Jessie Burrall, the Committee adjourned at 12:30 P.M., to reconvene at 2:30 P.M.

The Committee reconvened at 2:30 P.M.

Prayer was offered by Gilbert N. Brink.

Arthur M. Harris presented the report of the Business Committee, including certain recommendations, in consequence of which the following actions were taken:

On motion of John A. Earl, it was

A1062
MISSIONS:
SIGNING
OF CHECKS

VOTED: That the Irving Bank-Columbia Trust Company, on and after February 5, 1924, and until further notice, be and hereby is authorized to honor checks drawn against the account of Missions, when signed by H.B. Grose, Editor, or William B. Lippard, Associate Editor, and David C. Davis, Business Manager.

On motion of John A. Earl, it was

A1063
BOARD OF
PROMOTION:
EXPENSES OF
MEMBERS

VOTED: That the expenses of the members of the General Board of Promotion, attending the meeting in Chicago, be paid on the same basis as heretofore.

Mr. Harris also presented for the information of the Administrative Committee, the following actions of the Business Committee, calling attention to the desirability of arriving at a clear understanding

2. That the Committee on Field Activities and the Committee on Stewardship be authorized to plan, in cooperation with state leaders and the Committee of Conference of the Woman's Societies, for a series of church officers' councils and pastors' conferences during the coming year.

3. That the Committee on Field Activities and the Committee on Stewardship be authorized to plan, in cooperation with state leaders and the Committee of Conference of the Woman's Societies, for a definite follow-up in May and June, supplementary to the main approach to the churches in February and March, and also to reach the whole constituency in October in connection with the Every Member Plan.

4. That a plan for two thank offerings from the whole church, one in the fall, and one in the spring, be authorized, and that the Woman's Societies be authorized to complete plans for this object in conjunction with the Committee on Stewardship.

After prayer by Jessie Burrill, the Committee adjourned at 12:30

P.M., to reconvene at 2:30 P.M.

The Committee reconvened at 2:30 P.M.

Prayer was offered by Gilbert N. Brink.

Arthur M. Harris presented the report of the Business Committee,

including certain recommendations, in consequence of which the following actions were taken:

On motion of John A. Earl, it was

VOTED: That the Irving Bank-Columbia Trust Company on and after February 5, 1924, and until further notice, be and hereby is authorized to honor checks drawn against the account of Missions, when signed by E. B. Gross, Editor, or William B. Upshur, Associate Editor, and David C. Davis, Business Manager.

11063
MISSIONS:
SIGNING
OF CHECKS

On motion of John A. Earl, it was

VOTED: That the expenses of the members of the General Board of Promotion, attending the meeting in Chicago, be paid on the same basis as heretofore.

11063
BOARD OF
PROMOTION:
EXPENSES OF
MEMBERS

Mr. Harris also presented for the information of the Administrative Committee, the following actions of the Business Committee, calling attention to the desirability of arriving at a clear understanding

concerning certain provisions in the report of the Committee on Future Denominational Program.

1. That the attention of the Administrative Committee be called to the importance of having a clear understanding and statement as to the procedure to be followed under paragraph (7) under "Building the Unified Budget," in the report of the Committee on Future Denominational Program, and ask that consideration be given to the method by which the combined operating budgets shall be allotted to the states and by them distributed to the churches.
2. That the attention of the Administrative Committee be called, in connection with the same paragraph, to the question as to the procedure to be followed in the case of a state where the amount raised is not sufficient to provide for its own operating budget plus a share of the national budgets.
3. That the attention of the Administrative Committee be called to section 3, "Collection and Distribution of Funds," paragraph (3), and to the importance of a clear statement as to the procedure to be followed under this paragraph, particularly as to the matter of charging designated gifts received by any participating organization to its operating budget and as to the distribution of undesignated gifts in case the entire budget is not raised. We would suggest that the advice of the Finance Committee be sought in this matter.
4. That the attention of the Administrative Committee be called to section 4, "Promotional Work," paragraph (2), and to the necessity of deciding as to whether the promotional expenses shall be withheld, as heretofore, by the collecting agencies from undesignated funds or shall be charged to the participating organizations pro rata after all undesignated receipts have been distributed.

On motion of John A. Earl, it was

A1064
BUSINESS
COMMITTEE:
REPORT

VOTED: That the report of the Business Committee be accepted and that the questions raised by it concerning provisions in the report of the Committee on Future Denominational Program, be referred to the Committee of Nine.

Stacy R. Warburton presented the report of the Committee on Literature and Publishing, together with certain recommendations, in consequence of which the following actions were taken.

concerning certain provisions in the report of the Committee on
Future Denominational Program.

1. That the attention of the Administrative Committee be called to the importance of having a clear understanding and statement as to the procedure to be followed under paragraph (7) under "Building the United Budget," in the report of the Committee on Future Denominational Program, and ask that consideration be given to the method by which the combined operating budgets shall be allotted to the states and by them distributed to the churches.
2. That the attention of the Administrative Committee be called, in connection with the same paragraph, to the question as to the procedure to be followed in the case of a state where the amount raised is not sufficient to provide for its own operating budget plus a share of the national budgets.
3. That the attention of the Administrative Committee be called to section 3, "Collection and Distribution of Funds," paragraph (3), and to the importance of a clear statement as to the procedure to be followed under this paragraph, particularly as to the matter of charging designated gifts received by any participating organization to its operating budget and as to the distribution of undesignated gifts in case the entire budget is not raised. We would suggest that the advice of the Finance Committee be sought in this matter.
4. That the attention of the Administrative Committee be called to section 4, "Promotional Work," paragraph (2), and to the necessity of deciding as to whether the promotional expenses shall be withheld, as heretofore, by the collecting agencies from undesignated funds or shall be charged to the participating organizations pro rata after all undesignated receipts have been distributed.

On motion of John A. Earl, it was

VOTED: That the report of the Business Committee be accepted and that the questions raised by it concerning provisions in the report of the Committee on Future Denominational Program, be referred to the Committee of Nine.

ALICE
BUSINESS
COMMITTEE:
REPORT

Stacy R. Warburton presented the report of the Committee on Literature and Publishing, together with certain recommendations, in consequence of which the following actions were taken.

On motion of E.H.Rhoades, Jr., it was

A1065
STATE
SECRETARIES
OF
LITERATURE

VOTED: That the following be appointed State Secretaries of Literature for their respective states:

District of Columbia	Miss Nellie Savage, Washington
New Jersey	Mrs. Thomas H. Lillybridge, Newark
Iowa	Mrs. W. H. Shippey, Des Moines
Illinois	Mrs. J. D. Louderback, Chicago
Michigan	Mrs. L. J. Wreggit, Highland Park
Missouri	Mrs. Robert Kelley (St. Louis Dist.)
	Mrs. A. L. Reeves (Kansas City Dist.)

On motion of E.H.Rhoades, Jr., it was

A1066
BOOK OF
REMEMBRANCE
1925

VOTED: That a Book of Remembrance for 1925 be published, with such changes as experience may suggest.

On motion of Frederick E. Taylor, it was

A1067
NORTHERN
BAPTIST
CALENDAR
1925

VOTED: That a Northern Baptist Calendar for 1925 be published, and that arrangement of the details thereof be referred, with power, to the Committee on Literature and Publishing.

On motion of E.H.Rhoades, Jr., it was

A1068
MISSION
STUDY
BOOKS
1924-25

VOTED: That the Literature Division be authorized to purchase mission study books for 1924-25, in conference with the Business Manager of the American Baptist Publication Society and the Secretary of the Department of Missionary Education.

On motion of John M. Moore, it was

A1069
STUDY
BOOKS
1923-24

VOTED: That prices on mission study books for the current year be reduced April 1, in consultation with the American Baptist Publication Society.

On motion of John A. Earl, the report of the Committee on Literature and Publishing, as a whole, was approved.

John M. Moore, as Chairman of the Committee on Publicity, introduced R. O. Jasperson, Executive Secretary of the National Council of Northern Baptist Laymen, indicating that Mr. Jasperson had, at the request of the General Board of Promotion, undertaken the task of directing publicity for the Completion Campaign until April 30, 1924. Mr. Jasperson reviewed briefly the steps which had been taken in

On motion of E.H. Rhodes, Jr., it was

VOTED: That the following be appointed State Secretaries of Literature for their respective states:

District of Columbia Miss Nellie Savage, Washington
New Jersey Mrs. Thomas H. Lillybridge, Newark
Iowa Mrs. W.H. Shippey, Des Moines
Illinois Mrs. J.D. Londerback, Chicago
Michigan Mrs. L.J. Wreggitt, Highland Park
Missouri Mrs. Robert Kelley (St. Louis Dist.)
Mrs. A.L. Reeves (Kansas City Dist.)

On motion of E.H. Rhodes, Jr., it was

VOTED: That a Book of Remembrance for 1923 be published, with such changes as experience may suggest.

On motion of Frederick E. Taylor, it was

VOTED: That a Northern Baptist Calendar for 1923 be published, and that arrangement of the details thereof be referred, with power, to the Committee on Literature and Publishing.

On motion of E.H. Rhodes, Jr., it was

VOTED: That the Literature Division be authorized to purchase mission study books for 1924-25, in conference with the Business Manager of the American Baptist Publication Society and the Secretary of the Department of Missionary Education.

On motion of John M. Moore, it was

VOTED: That prices on mission study books for the current year be reduced April 1, in consultation with the American Baptist Publication Society.

On motion of John A. Earl, the report of the Committee on Literature and Publishing, as a whole, was approved.

John M. Moore, as Chairman of the Committee on Publicity, introduced R.O. Jaspersen, Executive Secretary of the National Council of Northern Baptist Laymen, indicating that Mr. Jaspersen had, at the request of the General Board of Promotion, undertaken the task of directing publicity for the Completion Campaign until April 30, 1924.

Mr. Jaspersen reviewed briefly the steps which had been taken in

connection with the publicity work through the means of special monthly bulletins, clipsheets and mats distributed to the secular newspapers and special articles in the denominational papers. On motion of Arthur M. Harris, the report of the Committee on Publicity was approved.

Emory W. Hunt presented the report of the Committee on The Baptist and introduced a plan for certain changes in the editorial and business management of The Baptist, which had been prepared and proposed by Edgar L. Killam. After consideration and on motion of Frederick L. Anderson, it was

A1070
COMMITTEE
ON
THE BAPTIST:
REPORT

VOTED: That the report and recommendations of the Committee on The Baptist be adopted, as follows:

1. That \$3,000 of the present salary of Edgar L. Killam be used to secure the services of F. H. Faringer, who shall give himself, primarily, to subscription promotion. This will not increase the budget.
2. That Mr. Killam be permitted to do his work at his home in Lake Geneva, which is but two hours removed from the office of The Baptist, with good mail facilities and cheap telephone connections.
3. That Mr. Killam shall be responsible for from five to ten pages of the paper each week, as the news feature is developed. The range of the reading and the time necessary to condense interminably long news articles and reports will make the task one of the most exacting on the paper.
4. That Mr. Killam be provided by exchange and purchase with all religious newspapers, magazines and outstanding secular newspapers, both of America and Europe.
5. That necessary stenographic service, postage and travel expense be provided for Mr. Killam. These items are in the present budget of The Baptist.
6. That this plan shall be in effect from May 1, 1924, to April 30, 1925.

Emory W. Hunt presented also certain questions concerning the rates of advertising in The Baptist, paid by denominational organizations. After consideration and on motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

connection with the publicity work through the means of special monthly bulletins, clipsheds and mats distributed to the secular newspapers and special articles in the denominational papers. On motion of Arthur M. Harris, the report of the Committee on Publicity was approved.

Emory W. Hunt presented the report of the Committee on The Baptist and introduced a plan for certain changes in the editorial and business management of The Baptist, which had been prepared and proposed by Edgar L. Kilham. After consideration and on motion of Frederick J. Anderson, it was

ADOPTED
COMMITTEE
ON
THE BAPTIST
REPORT

VOTED: That the report and recommendations of the Committee on The Baptist be adopted, as follows:

1. That \$3,000 of the present salary of Edgar L. Kilham be used to secure the services of F. H. Faringer, who shall give himself, primarily, to subscription promotion. This will not increase the budget.
2. That Mr. Kilham be permitted to do his work at his home in Lake Geneva, which is but two hours removed from the office of The Baptist, with good mail facilities and cheap telephone connections.
3. That Mr. Kilham shall be responsible for from five to ten pages of the paper each week, as the news feature is developed. The range of the reading and the time necessary to condense interminably long news articles and reports will make the task one of the most exacting on the paper.
4. That Mr. Kilham be provided by exchange and purchase with all religious newspapers, magazines and outstanding secular newspapers, both of America and Europe.
5. That necessary stenographic service, postage and travel expense be provided for Mr. Kilham. These items are in the present budget of The Baptist.
6. That this plan shall be in effect from May 1, 1934, to April 30, 1935.

Emory W. Hunt presented also certain questions concerning the rates of advertising in The Baptist, paid by denominational organizations. After consideration and on motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

A1071
THE BAPTIST
ADVERTISING
RATES

VOTED: That The Baptist be authorized to make to the Business Department of the American Baptist Publication Society the same rate for its advertising as is made by The Baptist to all other publishing house entitled to discount rates.

William B. Lipphard presented the report of the Committee on Missions, indicating that the Committee had been engaged in investigation with a view to securing a larger circulation of Missions among the pastors of the denomination. After consideration and on motion of E. H. Rhoades, Jr., it was

A1072
COMMITTEE
ON MISSIONS:
REPORT

VOTED: That the report of the Committee on Missions be adopted and that the special sub-committee, consisting of W. H. Main, William A. Hill and William B. Lipphard, be authorized to continue its investigation, with a view to securing larger circulation of Missions among Northern Baptist pastors.

Mrs. George Caleb Moor presented a request from the Continuation Campaign Committee concerning a budget for special promotional work among women for the fiscal year 1924-25. After consideration and on motion of E. H. Rhoades, Jr., it was

A1073
WOMEN'S
PROMOTIONAL
WORK: BUDGET
1924-25

VOTED: That the request presented by the Continuation Campaign Committee for the provision of a special budget for promotional work among women for the fiscal year 1924-25, be referred to the Committee of Nine, with the recommendation of the Administrative Committee that such a budget be provided, if possible.

On motion of Mrs. J. D. Rough, it was

A1074
COMMUNICATION
TO THE
CHAIRMAN

VOTED: That the Recording Secretary be instructed to send to the Chairman of the Administrative Committee a telegram of greeting, with an expression of the regret of the Committee at his inability to be present at this meeting.

After prayer by John A. Earl, the Committee adjourned at 3:45 P.M. to reconvene on Wednesday, February 6, 1924, at 8:00 A.M.

The Committee reconvened on Wednesday, February 6, 1924, at 8:00 A.M.

Prayer was offered by Clarence A. Barbour.

VOTED: That The Baptist be authorized to make to the Business Department of the American Baptist Publication Society the same rate for its advertising as is made by The Baptist to all other publishing houses entitled to discount rates.

ALONG
THE BAPTIST
PUBLISHING
RATES

William B. Lippard presented the report of the Committee on Missions, indicating that the Committee had been engaged in investigation with a view to securing a larger circulation of Missions among the pastors of the denomination. After consideration and on motion of E. H. Rhodes, Jr., it was

VOTED: That the report of the Committee on Missions be adopted and that the special sub-committee, consisting of W. H. Main, William A. Hill and William B. Lippard, be authorized to continue the investigation with a view to securing larger circulation of Missions among Northern Baptist pastors.

ALONG
COMMITTEE
ON MISSIONS:
REPORT

Mrs. George Caleb Moor presented a request from the Continuation Campaign Committee concerning a budget for special promotional work among women for the fiscal year 1934-35. After consideration and on motion of E. H. Rhodes, Jr., it was

VOTED: That the request presented by the Continuation Campaign Committee for the provision of a special budget for promotional work among women for the fiscal year 1934-35, be referred to the Committee of Nine, with the recommendation of the Administrative Committee that such a budget be provided, if possible.

ALONG
WOMEN'S
PROMOTIONAL
WORK: BUDGET
1934-35

On motion of Mrs. J. D. Rough, it was

VOTED: That the Recording Secretary be instructed to send to the Chairman of the Administrative Committee a telegram of greeting, with an expression of the regret of the Committee at his inability to be present at this meeting.

ALONG
COMMUNICATION
TO THE
CHAIRMAN

After prayer by John A. Earl, the Committee adjourned at 3:45 P. M. to reconvene on Wednesday, February 6, 1934, at 8:00 A. M.

The Committee reconvened on Wednesday, February 6, 1934, at

8:00 A. M.

Prayer was offered by Clarence A. Barbour.

The report of the Committee of Nine was presented by Carl E. Milliken, who reviewed for the information of the Administrative Committee the actions taken by the Committee of Nine in pursuance of its task of providing a plan for such preliminary and preparatory arrangements during the current year as will enable the denomination to enter promptly and aggressively upon its future program. After consideration of the report and in view of recommendations made by the Committee of Nine, the following actions were taken.

On motion of E.H. Rhoades, Jr., it was

A1075 BOARD OF MISSIONARY COOPERATION: BUDGET 1924-25	VOTED: That the Finance Committee of the Northern Baptist Convention be requested, at its next meeting, to give serious consideration to the desirability of restoring the budget of the Board of Missionary Cooperation for 1924-25 to the amount proposed by the Administrative Committee in originally submitting the budget to the Finance Committee.
---	---

On motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

A1076 SUGGESTED CONDITIONS GOVERNING SOLICITATION OF SPECIFICS	VOTED: That the recommendations of the Committee of Nine, concerning the suggested conditions governing the solicitation of specific gifts under the new denominational program, be approved and referred to the General Board of Promotion.
---	--

On motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

A1077 BOARD OF MISSIONARY COOPERATION: EXECUTIVE SECRETARY	VOTED: That, in view of the serious emergency which will result if the Executive Secretary of the Board of Missionary Cooperation is not appointed before the meeting of the Northern Baptist Convention in Milwaukee, the Committee of Nine be authorized to make to the Executive Committee of the Convention such representations and recommendations on this subject as in its judgment may be desirable.
---	---

J.T. Crawford presented, on behalf of a special committee on apportionments to the states, a suggested allotment of the proposed unified denominational budget for the fiscal year 1924-25, and explained the basis upon which the suggested apportionment had been reached. After consideration and on motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

The report of the Committee of Nine was presented by Carl E. Wilkison, who reviewed for the information of the Administrative Committee the actions taken by the Committee of Nine in pursuance of its task of providing a plan for such preliminary and preparatory arrangements during the current year as will enable the denomination to enter promptly and aggressively upon its future program. After consideration of the report and in view of recommendations made by the Committee of Nine, the following actions were taken.

On motion of E.H. Rhodes, Jr., it was

ALLOYS
BOARD OF
MISSIONARY
COOPERATION:
BUDGET 1924-25
VOTED: That the Finance Committee of the Northern Baptist Convention be requested, at its next meeting, to give serious consideration to the desirability of restoring the budget of the Board of Missionary Cooperation for 1924-25 to the amount proposed by the Administrative Committee in originally submitting the budget to the Finance Committee.

On motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

ALLOYS
SUGGESTED
CONDITIONS
GOVERNING
SOLICITATION
OF SPECIFICS
VOTED: That the recommendations of the Committee of Nine, concerning the suggested conditions governing the solicitation of specific gifts under the new denominational program, be approved and referred to the General Board of Promotion.

On motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

ALLOYS
BOARD OF
MISSIONARY
COOPERATION:
EXECUTIVE
SECRETARY
VOTED: That, in view of the serious emergency which will result if the Executive Secretary of the Board of Missionary Cooperation is not appointed before the meeting of the Northern Baptist Convention in Milwaukee, the Committee of Nine be authorized to make to the Executive Committee of the Convention such representations and recommendations on this subject as in its judgment may be desirable.

J.T. Crawford presented, on behalf of a special committee on apportionments to the states, a suggested allotment of the proposed unified denominational budget for the fiscal year 1924-25, and explained the basis upon which the suggested apportionment had been reached. After consideration and on motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

A1078
STATE APPOR-
TIONMENTS
1924-25

VOTED: That the basis of apportionment, as recommended by the Committee on Apportionment, be approved, subject to the approval of the Committee of Nine, with the understanding that the total amount apportioned shall be the same as the total amount of distributable funds in the united budget approved by the Finance Committee of the Northern Baptist Convention.

That the apportionments, when approved by the Committee of Nine, be allotted to the states by the Committee of Nine.

That a copy of this action be transmitted to the Finance Committee of the Convention.

Frederick L. Anderson presented a supplemental report of the Committee on Stewardship, concerning the question of settlement of New World Movement pledges, which had been referred to the Committee on Stewardship by the Administrative Committee. After consideration and on motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

A1079
SETTLEMENT
OF NEW
WORLD MOVEMENT
PLEDGES

VOTED: That the letter prepared by the Committee on Stewardship, concerning settlement of New World Movement pledges, be approved for transmission to the State Directors of Promotion and the pastors.

After prayer by H. A. Heath, the Committee adjourned at 10:20 A.M.

H. R. BOWLER,

Recording Secretary.

ALOT8
STATE APOR-
TIONS
1934-35

VOTED: That the basis of apportionment, as recommended by the Committee on Apportionment, be approved, subject to the approval of the Committee of Nine, with the understanding that the total amount apportioned shall be the same as the total amount of distributable funds in the United Budget approved by the Finance Committee of the Northern Baptist Convention.

That the apportionments, when approved by the Committee of Nine, be allotted to the states by the Committee of Nine.

That a copy of this action be transmitted to the Finance Committee of the Convention.

Frederick L. Anderson presented a supplemental report of the Committee on Stewardship, concerning the question of settlement of New World Movement pledges, which had been referred to the Committee on Stewardship by the Administrative Committee. After consideration and on motion of Arthur M. Harris, it was

VOTED: That the letter prepared by the Committee on Stewardship, concerning settlement of New World Movement pledges, be approved for transmission to the State Directors of Promotion and the pastors.

ALOT9
SETTLEMENT
OF NEW
WORLD MOVEMENT
PLEDGES

After prayer by H. A. Heath, the Committee adjourned at 10:20 A.M.

H. R. BOWLER.

Recording Secretary.